

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
003	-	<p>Inspectors are appointed under the HSW Act to administer the NI Act. The Nuclear Safety Division of HSE exercises that responsibility through HM Nuclear Installations Inspectorate (NII).</p> <p>The NII has within its remit the responsibility for granting licences, for attaching appropriate conditions to the licences and for making judgements on the acceptability of responses made by licensees to the requirements of those conditions. (The term 'licensee' is used in this document to include applicants for licences as well as those who already hold licences.)</p>	<p>Not repeated in the 2006 SAPs: available in other published documents. Paragraph 5</p>	
004	-	<p>HSE published the NII's safety assessment principles (SAPs) for nuclear power reactors in 1979¹ and for nuclear chemical plants in 1983² and issued an amendment to the SAPs in 1988⁹.</p> <p>Since that time HSE has advanced its thinking on the tolerability of risk from nuclear power stations in a paper, The Tolerability of Risk from Nuclear Power Stations ^{3b}, a revised version of which has recently been published. This follows an earlier discussion paper ^{3a} published in 1988. Its general approach to the regulation of risks, in which the ALARP principle again plays a central role, may be summarised in the following way:</p> <p>(a) For any activity the level of risk may be so great that the activity cannot be allowed to continue. This upper limit defines the boundary between risks which are just tolerable and those which are intolerable.</p> <p>(b) Even when the level of risk is tolerable, it must be reduced to a level which is as low as reasonably practicable.</p> <p>(c) A point is reached at which the risk is, or has been made, so small that no further precaution is necessary.</p>	<p>Foreword</p> <p>Paragraphs 9 to 17</p>	<p>Note we have moved away from using tolerability. Instead, reference is made to legal duties and the use of as low as reasonably practicable.</p>
005	-	<p>This approach is followed by the NII in its assessment work and, for the purposes of that work, is translated into more specific requirements, which are described below</p>	<p>Paragraphs 10-13</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
006	-	<p>Safety assessment The process adopted by the NII in making decisions on the granting of a licence requires a safety case and supporting reports to be submitted by the licensee for assessment by the NII. The Inspectorate needs to adopt a consistent and uniform approach to the assessment process; to this end it is necessary to provide a framework which can be used as a reference for the technical judgements that the assessors have to make. The NII's safety assessment principles (SAPs) form such a framework. This document updates and consolidates the earlier publications of its nuclear power plant and nuclear chemical plant principles.</p>	<p>Paragraph 18</p> <p>Paragraph 3</p> <p>Paragraph 3</p>	
007	-	<p>In carrying out an assessment, the NII assessors need to judge the extent to which the safety submission shows that the design of the plant is in conformity with the principles. Not all of the principles are relevant to every plant, but the extent to which the relevant principles are met will be an important factor in any decision on licensing. The law requires the plant to be as safe as reasonably practicable. Some of the principles embody specific statutory limits. Apart from these, the principles in this document should be met as far as is reasonably practicable, and that expression could have been written, at the risk of being tediously repetitive, into almost every SAP. There cannot, therefore, be a rigid interpretation of the principles. On the other hand, the engineering principles in particular represent the NII's view of good practice and we would not expect modern plants to have difficulty in satisfying the majority of them.</p>	<p>Paragraphs 12, 22</p> <p>Paragraph 23</p> <p>Paragraph 10 Paragraph 13 Paragraph 14</p> <p>Paragraph 30</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
008	-	<p>The revised SAPs are aimed primarily at the safety assessment of proposed (new) nuclear plants, but they will also be used in assessing existing plants.</p> <p>In so far as the principles are drafted for application to designs rather than hardware and to the safety analysis of those designs, the principles are forward-looking in nature.</p> <p>However, following the granting of a licence, the proposed plant in due course goes through construction and commissioning stages into operation and ultimately decommissioning.</p> <p>The assessment principles have to look further into the future, therefore, in order to recognise those developments and seek preparatory work by the licensee at the design stage in anticipation of them; but the principles have to avoid imposing in the pre-licensing stage the regulatory requirements which will apply when the design becomes a reality.</p>	Paragraph 29	The 2006 SAPs apply to all existing and proposed nuclear facilities
009	-	<p>Drawing this line can cause conceptual problems particularly because, as indicated above, the SAPs will also be used in the assessment of existing plants.</p> <p>Assessment continues through all phases of a plant's life - when a modification is proposed to an operating plant for example. The principles will be used through all of those phases, both for plants that will be built in the future and for plants which exist today.</p> <p>The important point to note is that, in this use, the principles will be augmented by licence conditions which will then require arrangements to be made, procedures written etc, that take some of the forward-looking requirements of the SAPs into a form more appropriate to an actual plant.</p>	Paragraph 29	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
010	-	<p>For the assessment of plants which exist today ('old plants') there is a further point to be considered in that the safety standards used in their design and construction may differ from those used in plants currently being designed and built. The existence of such differences has to be recognised by our assessors when applying the SAPs in the assessment of old plants. The ALARP principle is of particular importance to such assessments, and the age of the plant and its projected life are important factors to be taken into account when making judgements on the reasonable practicability of making improvements to those plants.</p>	Paragraphs 31 - 33	
011	-	<p>The principles are written bearing in mind existing and anticipated plant designs and the form of safety cases likely to be submitted to the Inspectorate.</p> <p>As far as safety cases are concerned, however, licensees may wish to put forward a submission which differs from this expectation and, as in the past, the NII will be prepared to consider such an approach.</p> <p>There are also other possible designs: novel plant concepts and novel plant features are currently being developed by the nuclear industry. Again the Inspectorate will be flexible in its response.</p> <p>In the past there have been plant items whose safety was difficult to justify in such a way as to readily satisfy the SAPs, the pressure vessel being the most obvious example.</p> <p>That possibility was catered for in the existing SAPs by having a principle which allowed for such plant items to be justified on a special case basis and this route has been used on a number of occasions.</p> <p>An amended version of the 'special case' principle is included in these revised SAPs and is available for similar use in the future on difficult plant items or on quite novel design features.</p> <p>If, however, situations arise which call for a different assessment approach, the principles will be re-examined and revised as appropriate.</p> <p>In summary, therefore, the revised SAPs are intended to cater for non-standard as well as the standard approach.</p> <p>In no case, however, should this flexibility be seen as a means of bypassing the rigours of the assessment process; special cases receive particularly close scrutiny.</p>	<p>Paragraph 38</p> <p>For High reliability components and structures refer to paragraphs 243 to 253 and EMC.1 to EMC.3.</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
012	-	<p>For a proposed new plant, it is generally the case that not all safety questions can be answered at the pre-licensing stage.</p> <p>In such a situation, construction can only proceed if it is judged that there is little risk of significant additional costs being incurred for safety reasons at a later stage.</p> <p>This judgement is important because a great deal of work to answer outstanding questions may remain to be done after a licence has been granted.</p> <p>Conversely, a process in which all questions were answered before a licence was issued would require considerably more detailed work being done at the start with a consequential lengthening of the pre-licensing process.</p>	Paragraph 30	<p>Various engineering principles cover activities during the phase from when a licence is granted eg.</p> <p>ECM.1 EMT.3 EML.4/6/16/17 ECE.18/21/22</p>
013	-	<p>As with earlier versions of the SAPs, the principles here are, with one or two detailed exceptions, aimed at the individual plant rather than at the site, which might contain two, three or four plants. In practical terms, the risk posed by a plant which satisfies these principles should be sufficiently small that the few times higher risk from such a multi-plant site would still be acceptable.</p> <p>There are already in existence one or two sites which contain a larger number of plants, but many of those plants afford significantly less risk than, for example, a large power station. Furthermore, there is a general trend towards reducing those risks and, as new plants replace old, the risk is likely to be further reduced. For these reasons it is judged acceptable for the revised SAPs to be drafted with respect to individual plants.</p>	Paragraphs 35 to 37 and ST.6 plus paragraphs 123-127	<p>Multi-facility sites now covered with requirements.</p> <p>NB: Numerical targets apply variously to sites and facilities.</p>
014		<p>Not all of the principles in this document apply to every plant; clearly, reactor specific principles do not apply to chemical plants. Less obviously, not all of the reactor principles apply to all reactors: research reactors are different from power reactors and need to be treated differently, and a modification to a plant (reactor or chemical) obviously does not require the full panoply of the principles to be applied. In short, the principles are a reference set from which the assessor must choose those to be used for the job in hand.</p>	<p>Paragraph 23</p> <p>Paragraph 27</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
020		<p>Structure of the principles The principles presented here relate only to nuclear safety. Other conventional hazards are excluded except where they have a direct effect on nuclear safety. In paragraphs 22-27 there are certain internationally recognised fundamental safety principles. The following section deals with risks from normal operation and accident conditions and the standards against which those risks are assessed. Although the risk of accidents may be small, the choice of site can have a bearing on the consequences; paragraphs 93-102 therefore, give the principles applied in the assessment of a site. The following section comprises the major part of this document: those principles that cover the design of nuclear plants. The principles in the final section are intended to round off the assessment of a future plant by ensuring that lessons learned, commitments made in and requirements derived from the safety case, are properly fed into the construction, commissioning, operation and eventual decommissioning of the plants.</p>	<p>Paragraph 39 Paragraph 17</p>	
021		<p>A glossary is presented at the end of the document to assist understanding of the principles. Throughout the document individual paragraphs are numbered, but for clarity of presentation those paragraphs which present principles are additionally numbered P1, P2 etc and printed in bold type.</p>	<p>Paragraph 40</p>	<p>Principles are contained in a tabular format, each with a unique identifier.</p>
022		<p>FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES Introduction In the earlier publications of the SAPs, five fundamental principles were presented at the beginning of the documents. Those principles derived from recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection which were subsequently implemented by the Ionising Radiations Regulations 1985. They embody the requirements for statutory radiation dose limits to be satisfied and for the ALARP principle to be applied to radiological exposures resulting from normal operation and to the risks from accidents. The fundamental principles are still relevant today and, indeed, the principles in the subsequent sections are aimed at ensuring that, when a proposed plant comes into operation, these principles will be satisfied.</p>	<p>Paragraphs 41 and 42</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
023	P001	(P1) No person shall receive doses of ionising radiation in excess of statutory dose limits as a result of normal operation.	FP.5	Covered by the IRRs.
024	P002	(P2) The exposure of any person to radiation shall be kept as low as is reasonably practicable.	FP.5	Covered by the IRRs.
025	P003	(P3) The collective effective dose to operators and to the general public as a result of operation of the nuclear installation shall be kept as low as is reasonably practicable.	FP.5	Covered by Licence Condition 18 and the IRRs.
026	P004	(P4) All reasonably practicable steps shall be taken to prevent accidents.	FP.6	
027	P005	(P5) All reasonably practicable steps shall be taken to minimise the radiological consequences of any accident	FP.6	
028		<p>SAFETY ANALYSIS Introduction Reference was made earlier to HSE's tolerability of risk (TOR) paper. The approach described in that paper has been carried through into these principles. The concept of a limit of tolerability has been translated into basic safety limits (BSLs) for the risks from normal operation and from accident conditions. A proposed plant must satisfy these limits in order to be considered for licensing. Having satisfied the BSLs, the ALARP principle comes into play to drive the risks from the plant even lower.</p>	<p>Paragraphs 9 and 10</p> <p>Paragraph 569</p> <p>Paragraph 571</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
029		<p>This process necessitates the making of decisions by designers and operators on a case-by-case basis, and no generally applicable numerical interpretation is appropriate. However, there comes a point at which further consideration of the case would itself be more costly in NII resources than the benefit from applying that effort to other tasks. Each BSL is complemented, therefore, by a Basic Safety Objective (BSO). The BSOs define the point beyond which the assessors need not seek further safety improvements from the licensee in his quest for ALARP; instead, they can confine their studies to the validity of the estimates put to them by the licensee. The licensee on the other hand is not given the option of stopping at that point. ALARP considerations may be such that he is justified in stopping before he reaches the BSO level; but if it is reasonably practicable for him to provide a standard of safety better than that of the BSO, he is obliged to do so.</p> <p>The BSLs and the BSOs are related to individual and societal risks, and cover:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) radiation doses likely to be received by workers or members of the public in the course of normal operation; and (b) the chances of accidents leading to radiation doses to workers and the public, releases of radioactive materials, or damage to plants which might lead to such releases. 	<p>Paragraph 573</p> <p>Paragraph 573</p> <p>Paragraph 583</p>	
030		<p>The BSLs and BSOs therefore provide measures against which the NII assessors can make judgements on the safety of proposals put to them. There is considerable advantage in having such numerical standards, but the corresponding numbers which describe the plant depend on many judgements and are subject to uncertainties. Predicted radiological exposures during normal operation (which need to be compared with the BSLs and BSOs) are supported by experience from existing plants and can therefore be reasonably accurate. However, the risks from accidents are more difficult to estimate and for these predictions the uncertainties are greater.</p>	<p>Paragraphs 568 to 578</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
031		The first three of the fundamental principles of paragraphs 22 to 27 provide the foundations upon which the principles of this section are based. Radiation doses may be received by people on the site and also by people outside it as a result of normal operations on the site. As well as doses from direct radiation, workers may receive radiation doses from inhalation and ingestion of radioactive material. Though they are clearly less exposed than the workers, people outside the site may also receive doses by those routes and through the food chain as a result of discharges and disposals of radioactive waste liquids and solids.	FP.3 to FP.5, Paragraph 585	
032		Engineering and administrative provisions are used to control the doses. But all safety provisions have associated costs, and a line will be drawn at some point where the licensee judges it is not reasonably practicable to reduce the predicted worker and public doses by further provisions on his plant. The NII assessor's task is to compare those predictions with the levels in the BSOs. If the BSOs are satisfied, the assessor needs only to assess the validity of the predictions. If the BSOs are not satisfied he must also consider whether the right balance has been struck by the licensee between the costs and the benefits, in other words, whether the risks from normal operation have been made as low as reasonably practicable.	Paragraph 573	
033		The principles presented below are concerned with the validity of predicted doses. They are followed by the BSLs and BSOs associated with risks to workers and the general public from normal operations. The limits on doses are derived from Ionising Radiations Regulations, 1985, and from the proposals in the TOR paper ³ . However, in view of the latest ICRP recommendations ⁵ , the principles anticipate changes likely to be made to the Regulations. Explanatory notes on some of the principles are given in Appendix 1.	Paragraph 570 Explanatory Document	IRRs
034	P006	(P6) An analysis of the overall plant design and system of operation should be carried out to predict the radiation doses likely to be received by workers and the public and presented to demonstrate that the plant will meet Principles P11 to P14.	NT.1	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes												
035	P007	(P7) All dose predictions should make appropriate allowance for uncertainties associated with calculations of internal and external exposure and make use of relevant operational data. Where dose predictions depend on dose rates arising from build-up of contamination and from material in process, the maximum values expected to occur during the life of the plant should be used.	Paragraph 586													
036	P008	(P8) Predictions made of the doses likely to be received from normal operation of the plant by people working with ionising radiations should be based on the specific operations involved in the running and servicing of the plant, and evaluations of the duration, frequency and numbers of people involved in each component task, and should show both the highest individual annual dose and the annual group average dose.	Paragraph 587													
037	P009	(P9) The doses which could be received by those people on the site not working with ionising radiations may be simple bounding estimates.	Paragraph 589													
038	P010	(P10) Predictions of the doses likely to be received from normal operation of the plant by people outside the site should be based on calculated doses to the relevant critical groups as a result of direct radiation and from discharges of activity to air and other media.	Paragraph 593													
039	P011	<p>(P11) No person on the site should receive in any year from all sources of radiation on the site a radiation dose greater than the values in the following table:</p> <table border="0" data-bbox="405 1034 1288 1161"> <tr> <td></td> <td align="center">BSL</td> <td align="center">BSO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Persons working with ionising radiations</td> <td align="center">20 mSv</td> <td align="center">2 mSv</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other workers on site</td> <td align="center">5 mSv</td> <td align="center">0.5 mSv</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Members of the public</td> <td align="center">(see P14)</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		BSL	BSO	Persons working with ionising radiations	20 mSv	2 mSv	Other workers on site	5 mSv	0.5 mSv	Members of the public	(see P14)		Target 1	
	BSL	BSO														
Persons working with ionising radiations	20 mSv	2 mSv														
Other workers on site	5 mSv	0.5 mSv														
Members of the public	(see P14)															
040	P012	(P12) No person working with ionising radiations should receive doses to individual organs greater than the relevant statutory dose limit	Note to Target 1	Reference to IRRs.												
041	P013	<p>(P13) The average radiation dose received in any year from normal operations by the group of persons working with ionising radiations should not be greater than the values in the following table:</p> <table border="0" data-bbox="405 1374 1288 1441"> <tr> <td></td> <td align="center">BSL</td> <td align="center">BSO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The group of persons working with ionising radiations</td> <td align="center">10 mSv</td> <td align="center">1 mSv</td> </tr> </table>		BSL	BSO	The group of persons working with ionising radiations	10 mSv	1 mSv	Target 2							
	BSL	BSO														
The group of persons working with ionising radiations	10 mSv	1 mSv														

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
042	P014	<p>(P14) No member of the public should receive in any year from all sources of radiation on the site a dose greater than the following:</p> <p align="center">BSL BSO 1 mSv 0.02 mSv</p> <p><i>Note. Off-site doses resulting from discharges and disposals from nuclear sites are controlled by MAFF and HMIP (or in Scotland by HMIPi) by means of authorisations granted under the Radioactive Substances Act 1960. The criteria applied by these Authorising Departments are set out in the White Paper 'Radioactive Waste' (Cmnd 9852).</i></p>	<p align="center">Target 3</p> <p align="center">Paragraph 591</p>	
043		<p>Fundamental Principles 4 and 5 underlie the assessment of safety in accident conditions. The principles in this section develop them into more specific standards against which the safety of the plant will be judged.</p>	<p align="center">FP.6 and FP.7</p>	
044		<p>It is fundamental to achieving a safe plant that the engineering design should be sound. The likelihood of faults occurring should be minimised by conservative design, by the mode of operation, and by adequate maintenance, inspection and testing. Despite this, faults may occur and a plant must be capable of tolerating a range of faults without unacceptable consequences, by inherent safety in the design concept, defence-in-depth and the provision of effective safety systems.</p>	<p align="center">Paragraph 497</p>	
045		<p>Nuclear plants are, therefore, designed to cope with a wide range of potential accidents (design basis accidents - DBAs), but it may not be reasonably practicable to make design provision against the more unlikely accidents. The analysis of accident conditions follows two complementary approaches: deterministic and probabilistic. The deterministic approach is used in the analysis of design basis accidents which is required, in line with international practice, as a robust demonstration of the fault tolerance of the plant, of the effectiveness of its safety systems and with the aim of determining the limits to safe plant operation. For the purposes of design basis accidents, uncertainties in the transient and radiological analyses are covered by the use of appropriate conservatism in the treatment.</p>	<p align="center">Paragraph 498</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
046		<p>A deterministic approach is also followed for those accidents which are beyond the design basis and hence are liable to have serious consequences.</p> <p>But the analysis of these accidents differs from that of DBAs in that it should be performed preferably on a best-estimate basis, since it is required primarily to give realistic guidance on the actions to be taken in the unlikely event of such an accident occurring, and also to provide an input to the PSA.</p>	<p>Paragraph 500, Paragraph 547</p>	
047		<p>Since the design basis accident analysis and the severe accident analysis give no quantitative indication of the risk posed by the plant, those analyses are complemented by a third category, probabilistic safety analysis (PSA), which addresses the full range of possible accidents.</p> <p>The PSA is required in order to search out any weaknesses in the plant where improvements might be called for, to provide estimates of the overall risks from the plant and to check on the provisions for defence-in-depth.</p> <p>For these purposes the PSA should preferably be performed on a best-estimate basis but, where this is not practicable, any bias should be in the pessimistic direction.</p>	<p>Paragraph 499</p> <p>Paragraph 527</p> <p>Paragraphs 535 – 537</p> <p>Paragraphs 28/502</p>	
048		<p>For the PSA, the accident frequency principles for the most part do not address directly either individual risk or the risk to society as a whole, but are chosen to provide surrogates for these risks - surrogates which are related to the design and operation of the plant in question.</p> <p>Thus a full risk analysis of all off-site effects is not required, although the licensee may see it as worthwhile to provide such an analysis, particularly for major new installations.</p>	<p>Paragraph 583</p> <p>Paragraph 584</p>	
049		<p>The layout of the principles in this section is that, first, a set of general principles is given, applicable to the fault analysis as a whole. These are used in the development of the principles that follow which cover in turn the three categories of analysis: design basis accident analysis, severe accident analysis and PSA. Finally there is a set of principles which, like the first, are of general applicability but which are related to assuring and maintaining the validity of the fault analysis.</p>	<p>Paragraph 503</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
050		<p>The principles call for a considerable amount of analysis. In particular, the PSA principles require some reworking of faults that have previously been covered in the DBA and severe accident analysis. In order to reduce the size of the task, the Inspectorate is prepared to consider the use of the earlier analysis in the PSA, where this can be done without undue distortion of the risk estimates.</p> <p>Also, it is recognised by the Inspectorate that different plants carry different risks and that this may be reflected in the effort expended on the analysis: where the risk is high, the scope and quality of the analysis should be of an appropriately high standard; where the risks are lower, a somewhat lower standard may be accepted.</p>	<p>Paragraph 533</p> <p>Paragraph 528</p> <p>Paragraph 27</p>	
051		<p>Some notes relevant to the principles in this section are presented in Appendix 2.</p>	-	
052	P015	<p>Fault Analysis-General (P15) An analysis of possible accidents on the plant should cover:</p> <p>(a) all significant sources of radioactivity associated with the plant, and (b) all planned operating modes of the plant.</p>	Paragraph 504	
053	P016	<p>(P16) The analysis should start with a list of initiating faults, including internal and external hazards and faults due to personnel error, which can be identified as having the potential to lead to any person receiving a significant dose of radiation.</p> <p>The safety case should demonstrate a systematic process for establishing the list of faults, which should aim for completeness. The fault sequences arising from these initiating faults should be identified as described in P22 and P33.</p>	<p>FA.2</p> <p>Paragraph 504</p>	
054	P017	<p>(P17) Transient analysis or other analyses should be carried out as appropriate to determine the effect on the plant of these fault sequences.</p>	Paragraph 507	
055	P018	<p>(P18) For fault sequences which lead to a release of radioactive material or to a dose of direct radiation, radiological analysis should be performed which determines the maximum effective dose to a worker on the site and to a person outside the site directly downwind of the release. (The detail of this analysis differs according to its application, see P24 and P36.)</p>	Paragraph 508	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
056	P019	(P19) For the purpose of analysis the fault sequences may be grouped and a 'bounding case' for each group specified. Bounding cases should be selected having regard to the relevant physical and chemical processes involved and the demands made on the safety systems, and should have consequences at least as severe as every member of the groups of fault sequences which they are claimed to bound.	Paragraph 520	
057	P020	Design basis accidents (P20) The analysis of design basis accidents should be carried out to provide a robust demonstration of the fault tolerance of the engineering design and the effectiveness of the safety systems.	FA.4, paragraph 512 <i>f.</i>	
058	P021	(P21) The safety case should present a list of all initiating faults which are included within the design basis of the plant. All initiating faults identified under P16 should be considered for inclusion in this list, but the following need not be included: (a) faults internal to the plant which have an expected frequency lower than about 10-5 per year; and (b) failures of structures, systems or components for which acceptable special case arguments have been made in accordance with P70. (c) hazards excluded in accordance with P119.	FA.5 Paragraph 514 Paragraph 514(a) Paragraph 514(b) Paragraph 514(c)	
059	P022	(P22) The design basis fault sequences should then be identified, starting with each design basis initiating fault and including as appropriate: failures consequential upon the initiating fault, failures expected to occur in combination with it due to having a common cause, and single failures in the safety systems in accordance with P78. The worst normally permitted configuration of equipment outages for maintenance, test or repair, should be assumed, and correct performance of safety-related and non-safety equipment should not be assumed where it would alleviate the consequences. Sequences with very low expected frequencies need not be included.	FA.6 Paragraph 517 Paragraph 517(a) Paragraph 517(a) Paragraph 517(b) Paragraph 517(c) Paragraph 516 Paragraph 517, after (d)	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
060	P023	(P23) The transient and other plant analyses (see P17) of design basis fault sequences should be performed on a conservative basis, sufficient to provide a high degree of confidence that the requirements of P25 will be met.	FA.7	
061	P024	(P24) For each design basis fault sequence or bounding case (see P19) leading to a release of radioactive material, the radiological analysis to determine the maximum effective dose to a person outside the site should be performed on a conservative basis. In addition to the general requirements of P18, it should assume: (a) the person remains at the point of greatest dose for the duration of the release, although for extended releases more realistic occupancy may be assumed after a suitable interval; (b) the weather conditions have characteristics which produce the highest dose to that person; and (c) no off-site emergency countermeasures are effected, other than certain food bans whose implementation is shown to be highly likely.	Paragraph 600 Paragraph 601 Paragraph 601(a) Paragraph 601(b) Paragraph 601(c)	
062	P025	(P25) It should be shown that, following any design basis fault sequence: (a) none of the physical barriers to the escape of radioactivity is breached or, if any are, then at least one barrier remains intact; (b) there is no release of radioactivity except in the most severe cases and, even then, no person outside the site will receive an effective dose of 100 mSv or more; and (c) c) no person on the site will receive an excessive dose from the release of radioactive material or by direct radiation including that from criticality incidents.	FA.7 Paragraph 521(a) Paragraph 521(b) Paragraph 521(c)	Paragraph 521(b) says 'no release'. Paragraph 523 → Target 4 when releases occur. Similarly Paragraph 521(c) says 'no significant dose'. Paragraph 523 → Target 4 when releases occur.
063	P026	(P26) The design basis analysis should establish the minimum safety system requirements for each initiating fault within the design basis and present the results in a schedule of safety systems, and should also identify the operator action requirements.	Paragraph 526 Paragraph 346	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
064	P027	<p>(P27) The design basis fault analysis should also provide information relevant to:</p> <p>(a) the trip settings and performance requirements for the safety systems and safety related equipment;</p> <p>(b) the determination of the plant operational limits (see P325) and the formulation of the operating rules;</p> <p>(c) the preparation of the plant operating instructions for fault conditions.</p>	<p align="center">FA.9</p> <p>Paragraph 526(a)</p> <p>Paragraph 526(c)</p> <p>Paragraph 526(d)</p>	
065	P028	<p>Severe Accidents</p> <p>(P28) Fault sequences beyond the design basis which have the potential to lead to a severe accident should be considered, and analysed (by means of bounding cases if appropriate - see P19). The analysis should identify the failures which could occur in the physical barriers to the release of radioactive material or in the shielding against direct radiation, and should determine the magnitude and characteristics of the radiological consequences.</p>	<p align="center">FA.15</p> <p>Paragraph 546</p> <p>Paragraph 545(a)</p>	
066	P029	<p>(P29) The analysis of severe accidents should be sufficiently realistic to form a suitable basis for the accident management strategies in P331 et seq. Where the uncertainties are such that a realistic analysis cannot be performed with confidence, reasonably conservative assumptions should be made to avoid optimistic conclusions being drawn.</p>	<p>Paragraph 549(b)</p> <p>Paragraph 547</p>	
067	P030	<p>(P30) The severe accident analysis should also provide information relevant to the preparation of the site emergency plan for the protection of people outside the site in the event of a large release of radioactivity.</p>	<p>Paragraph 549(c)</p>	
068	P031	<p>(P31) Where severe accident uncertainties are judged to have a significant effect on the assessed risk, research aimed at confirming the modelling assumptions should be performed.</p>	<p>Paragraph 548</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
069	P032	<p>Probabilistic safety analysis (PSA) (P32) A probabilistic safety analysis of the overall design and system of operation should be performed to enable an assessment of the risk arising from the plant to be made, and a judgement as to its acceptability against the accident frequency principles, P42 to P46. The PSA should also confirm that a balanced design of the plant has been achieved, such that no particular class of accident or feature of the plant makes a disproportionate contribution to the overall risk.</p>	<p align="center">FA.10</p> <p align="center">Paragraph 529</p>	
070	P033	<p>(P33) The PSA should take as its starting point the list of initiating faults from P16 and should go on to identify the complete range of fault sequences which could occur, including severe accidents, taking account of the possibilities of component failures, component unavailabilities during maintenance or testing, common cause failures (see P81), personnel errors and failures which could occur as a consequence of preceding events.</p>	<p align="center">Paragraph 532</p> <p align="center">Paragraph 532(a) Paragraph 532(d) Paragraph 532(c) Paragraph 532(f, g, h) Paragraph 532(b)</p>	
071	P034	<p>(P34) The frequency of occurrence and consequences of each of the fault sequences identified should be estimated. Where a bounding case is used to represent a group of sequences, it should be given a frequency equal to the summed frequency of the group.</p>	<p align="center">Paragraph 534</p>	
072	P035	<p>(P35) Best-estimate methods and data should preferably be used for the transient and other plant analyses (see P17), for the radiological analysis, and for the frequencies and probabilities used in the PSA. Where this is not practicable, reasonably conservative assumptions should be made.</p>	<p align="center">Paragraph 535</p>	
073	P036	<p>(P36) The maximum effective dose to a person outside the site should be calculated for a person situated at the nearest habitation or at a distance of 1 km from the plant, whichever is nearer, or at the point of greatest dose if that is further away.</p>	<p align="center">Paragraph 621</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
074	P037	(P37) Where statistical data are used, they should be shown to be appropriate to the design and operating conditions of the plant and should relate to a relevant and sufficiently large population. The source of the data, the sample size and the uncertainty in the data should be specified. If changes to the source data are made to take account of differences between the available data and the plant conditions, these should be justified.	Paragraphs 536/537	See definition of conservative estimate.
075	P038	(P38) Where no relevant statistical data are available, judgements should be made and their basis stated. Particular attention should be paid to determining the sensitivity of the results of the PSA to such judgements.	Paragraph 536(c)	
076	P039	(P39) Probability data for personnel errors should take account of the specific task demands, psychological influences (eg stress, degree of supervision and working practices), the physical environment, and potential dependencies between separate activities (either by the same or by different operators). Any equipment or procedural requirements to promote reliable human performance should be identified.	EHF.10 and Paragraphs 389 – 391 , 537	
077	P040	(P40) For some fault sequences, it will not be possible to calculate the frequency of occurrence because the data are inadequate or no appropriate models are available. For example, for certain structural components such as pressure vessels, where failure could lead to severe consequences, the failure frequency required to meet the accident frequency principles may be well below the values which can be justified by standard statistical estimation techniques. In all such cases, a considered judgement should be made of the contribution to the predicted frequencies from such faults.	Paragraph 248 Paragraph 536(c)	
078	P041	(P41) The PSA should also provide information relevant to the requirements on the reliability, maintenance and testing of safety and safety-related systems.	Paragraph 541(c)	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes																					
079	P042	<p>Doses to the public (P42) The total predicted frequencies of accidents on the plant, which would give doses to a person outside the site, should be less than the values given in the following table:</p> <table border="0" data-bbox="405 424 1205 638"> <tr> <td>Maximum effective dose /mSv</td> <td colspan="2">Total predicted frequency, per year</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>BSL</td> <td>BSO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0.1-1</td> <td>1</td> <td>10-2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1-10</td> <td>10-1</td> <td>10-3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10-100</td> <td>10-2</td> <td>10-4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>100-1000</td> <td>10-3</td> <td>10-5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>> 1000</td> <td>10-4</td> <td>10-6</td> </tr> </table> <p><i>Note. A subsidiary aim should be for no single class of accident to contribute more than about one tenth of the total frequency in any dose band, to avoid placing excessive reliance on particular features of the plant or on particular assumptions in the analysis. For further explanatory notes on this principle see Appendix 2, paragraph 4.</i></p>	Maximum effective dose /mSv	Total predicted frequency, per year			BSL	BSO	0.1-1	1	10-2	1-10	10-1	10-3	10-100	10-2	10-4	100-1000	10-3	10-5	> 1000	10-4	10-6	<p>Target 8</p> <p>Paragraph 618</p> <p>Explanatory document</p>	<p>Plus Target 7.</p>
Maximum effective dose /mSv	Total predicted frequency, per year																								
	BSL	BSO																							
0.1-1	1	10-2																							
1-10	10-1	10-3																							
10-100	10-2	10-4																							
100-1000	10-3	10-5																							
> 1000	10-4	10-6																							
080	P043	<p>Risk to workers (P43) The total predicted individual risk of death (early or delayed) to any worker on the plant attributable to doses of radiation from accidents should be less than:</p> <table border="0" data-bbox="692 1010 1048 1070"> <tr> <td>BSL</td> <td>BSO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10-4 per year</td> <td>10-6 per year</td> </tr> </table> <p><i>Note 1. It is recognised that the calculation of individual risk to workers may be difficult and hence only a broad estimate will normally be required, sufficient to show that the BSL is very unlikely to be exceeded and that ALARP has been appropriately applied. Note 2. This principle is not intended to apply to personnel returning to perform recovery actions after an accident.</i></p>	BSL	BSO	10-4 per year	10-6 per year	<p>Target 5, paragraph 606f.</p> <p>Paragraph 605</p> <p>Paragraph 609</p>	<p>And Target 6.</p>																	
BSL	BSO																								
10-4 per year	10-6 per year																								

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes				
081	P044	<p>Large release (P44) The total predicted frequency of accidents on the plant with the potential to give a release to the environment of more than:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">10 000 TBq of Iodine 131 or 200 TBq of Caesium 137 or quantities of any other isotope or mixture of isotopes which would lead to similar consequences to either of these</p> <p>should be less than:</p> <table style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 0 20px;">BSL</td> <td>BSO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 0 20px;">10-5 per year</td> <td>10-7 per year</td> </tr> </table>	BSL	BSO	10-5 per year	10-7 per year	Target 9	Target recast but with same basis.
BSL	BSO							
10-5 per year	10-7 per year							
082	P045	<p>Plant damage (P45) The total predicted frequency with which the plant suffers damage and a significant quantity of radioactive material is permitted to escape from its designed point of residence or confinement, in circumstances which pose a threat to the integrity of the next physical barrier to its release, should be less than:</p> <table style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 0 20px;">BSL</td> <td>BSO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 0 20px;">10-4 per year</td> <td>10-5 per year</td> </tr> </table> <p><i>Note. Such plant damage is interpreted as a degraded core in the case of a reactor. For other plant, it would include a major breach of vessel pipework etc, together with the potential for events such as fire, explosion, or aggressive chemical attack which might lead to degradation of the containing cell or its ventilation/filtration system even though there may be a safety system provided to prevent such degradation.</i></p>	BSL	BSO	10-4 per year	10-5 per year	-	See Paragraph 583. P45 not deemed useful for full range of facilities regulated.
BSL	BSO							
10-4 per year	10-5 per year							
083	P046	<p>Criticality incidents (P46) The total predicted frequency of an accidental criticality excursion on a plant other than a nuclear reactor should be less than:</p> <table style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 0 20px;">BSL</td> <td>BSO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 0 20px;">10-3 per year</td> <td>10-4 per year</td> </tr> </table> <p><i>Note. This principle also applies to plants handling or storing fissile material outside the reactor core on a nuclear power station.</i></p>	BSL	BSO	10-3 per year	10-4 per year	-	Not treated separately in 2006 SAPs. Covered by Targets 5 and 6
BSL	BSO							
10-3 per year	10-4 per year							

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
084	P047	<p>Assurance of validity (P47) It should be shown that the calculational methods used for the analysis adequately represent the physical and chemical processes taking place. Where possible, the methods should be validated by a comparison with actual experience, appropriate experiments or tests. If this is not possible, a comparison with other, different calculational methods would be acceptable.</p>	<p align="center">FA.18</p> <p align="center">Paragraph 552</p> <p align="center">Paragraph 555</p>	
085	P048	<p>(P48) The radiological analysis should include any direct radiation and any inhalation, absorption and ingestion of radioactive material and should take account of the physical and chemical form of the radioactive material released.</p>	<p align="center">Paragraph 557</p>	
086	P049	<p>(P49) The analysis should also establish that the adverse conditions, which may arise as a consequence of the fault sequence, would not jeopardise the claimed performance of safety system actions.</p>	<p align="center">Paragraph 518</p>	
087	P050	<p>(P50) The personnel activities to be considered in the analysis should include monitoring of plant, diagnosing plant state, making decisions and implementing actions. Task analysis should be carried out to demonstrate that these activities are feasible and can be performed in the time available.</p>	<p align="center">EHF.5</p> <p align="center">Paragraph 380</p>	
088	P051	<p>(P51) The data used in the analysis should be shown to be valid by reference to established physical data, experiment or other means and any extrapolation of data should be shown to be valid.</p>	<p align="center">FA.19</p>	
089	P052	<p>(P52) Studies should be carried out by the licensee to determine the sensitivity of the fault analysis (and the conclusions drawn from it) to the assumptions made, the data used and the methods of calculation. Where the predictions of the analysis are sensitive to the modelling assumptions, they should be supported as far as practicable by additional analysis using independent methods and computer codes.</p>	<p align="center">FA.22</p> <p align="center">Also Para 538</p> <p align="center">Paragraph 565</p>	
090	P053	<p>(P53) In order for there to be adequate confidence in the results of the fault analysis, an independent check should be made by the licensee, where possible using different methods or analytical models.</p>	<p align="center">Paragraph 556</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
091	P054	<p>(P54) The fault analysis carried out at the design stage should be reviewed and where necessary revised to take account of:</p> <p>(a) Changes to the plant or the system of operation at the design or construction stage and during its operating life;</p> <p>(b) Any new relevant technical and scientific knowledge concerning plant behaviour and fault potential; and</p> <p>(c) c) Any material property changes and deterioration due to ageing not previously taken into account.</p>	<p align="center">FA.24</p> <p>Paragraph 567(a)</p> <p>Paragraph 567(b)</p> <p>Paragraph 567(c)</p>	
092	P055	<p>(P55) Data should be collected by the licensee throughout the operating life of the plant to check or update the fault analysis. This should include plant performance and failure data such as statistical data on initiating fault frequencies, component failure rates and plant unavailability during periods of maintenance or test.</p>	<p align="center">FA.23</p> <p align="center">Paragraph 566</p>	
093		<p>SITING Introduction If a company wishes to build a plant, it has to satisfy the NII first in relation to the site - that it conforms with the Government's siting policy and that the site characteristics are acceptable - and then in relation to the plant to be built on it. An important element of Government policy is that the first plant of a new type should be built on a remote site. This is deemed to be a prudent approach until sufficient experience is gained to allow more relaxed siting. With regard to site characteristics, to a large extent the site can be considered independently of the plant design. The objective as far as the design is concerned is that accidents should be prevented and their consequences contained. For the site, on the other hand, consideration has to be given to measures which would mitigate the effects of an accident in the unlikely event that a radioactive release occurred.</p>	<p align="center">Introduction to Siting, paragraphs 103 to 108</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
094		<p>All nuclear plants are required, therefore, to have an emergency plan. For major plants such as power reactors, large reprocessing facilities and fuel stores, the plan should address the design basis accident which gives the most significant off-site release or, if the accident results in doses below the lower emergency reference level¹⁰, should cover a minimum planning zone of 1 km. Off-site plans for minor plants should be made commensurate with the level of potential hazard they present. The principal aspects on which the NII requires to be satisfied are the demographic characteristics which have a bearing on accident mitigation, in particular the size, nature and distribution of the population around the site. The fewer people there are living, working or at leisure in the vicinity of the site, the smaller will be the number likely to be affected by an accidental release of radioactive material and for whom it may be necessary to initiate measures, such as evacuation from the area, if an accident occurs. Institutions with relatively large numbers of immobile people such as hospitals or old people's homes could present difficulties in the event of an emergency.</p>	<p>Paragraph 106 ST.1 and paragraphs 109 – 111 ST.2 and paragraphs 112 – 113 and paragraphs 639-640</p>	
095		<p>A second aspect for consideration comprises those features of the topography of the area around the site which can affect the dispersion of radioactive materials discharged from a plant in normal operation or released in the event of an accident. Other aspects of the topography which might affect the movement of people or of goods, the first being relevant to evacuation and the second to the normal movement of radioactive materials to and from the site, need also to be considered.</p>	<p>ST.3 and paragraphs 114 - 120</p>	
096		<p>There is a third category of site related characteristics on which information will be required and these are the natural and man-made hazards in the area. Earthquakes, flooding, drought, high winds and extremes of ambient temperature are examples of natural hazards which need to be considered. Man-made hazards include the possibility of an aircraft crash on the site and the storage, processing or transport of hazardous materials in the vicinity. Interruption of essential services to the plant, such as power and water, could also jeopardise its safe working.</p>	<p>ST.4 and paragraph 121</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
097		In general, these hazards will be dealt with as appropriate in the design of the plant. These aspects of the site-related risk are therefore covered in the hazards section of the engineering principles. The following principles are those specific to a site which need to be satisfied in order to obtain approval of the proposed site. Information required under the hazards principles must also be supplied, but generally that would lead to design provisions in the plant rather than being a determining factor in approval of the site.	Implied	
098	P056	<p>Principles (P56) Allowing for some natural growth of the population in the area over the life of the plant, the size and distribution of the population in the vicinity of power reactors and other major plants should be such that:</p> <p>(a) it would be possible to evacuate all persons from an affected area of up to 1 km around the site in about two hours from the time a decision to evacuate is taken, and to take other emergency measures on an appropriate timescale;</p> <p>(b) there are no institutions with large numbers of relatively immobile people within 1 km of the plant or, if there are, the emergency planning authority is satisfied that evacuation of such people could be carried out within two hours;</p> <p>(c) emergency plans (evacuation and other measures) should be capable of extension to deal with larger but more unlikely accidents should the need arise.</p>	ST.2 Paragraphs 112 and 113	
099	P057	(P57) Once a site has been approved for a nuclear plant, controls should be exercised, as far as is reasonably practicable, to prevent the population in the vicinity of the site increasing in such a way that the requirements of Principle P56 might be exceeded.	ST.1 Paragraph 110	Siting policy and local planning, not a SAP, but covered to some extent by ST.1 and associated guidance. See also ST.7 and associated guidance.
100	P058	(P58) Aspects of the topography and geology of the area around the proposed site which would affect the dispersion of radioactive materials released from the plant in normal operation and in the event of accidents should be identified and their effects assessed.	ST.3 Paragraph 116	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
101	P059	(P59) The assessment should determine the dispersion of such radioactive releases via the atmosphere, surface water and ground water and their transfer to the population by various mechanisms and exposure pathways using established and well-researched models.	ST.3 Paragraph 116 Paragraph 118	
102	P060	(P60) Aspects of the topography of the area around the site which may affect the movement of people and goods should be identified and their effect on the safety of the plant examined. This examination should determine whether the topography and road and rail systems are such as to create difficulties if it became necessary to evacuate people from the area around the plant. The suitability of the transport facilities for the movement of radioactive materials to and from the site should also be examined.	Paragraphs 114/115/119/120	
103		<p>ENGINEERING PRINCIPLES Introduction These principles comprise the major part of the SAPs and are complemented by the safety analysis principles in paragraphs 28-92: the engineering standards need to be high in order to achieve the necessary high level of safety which can then be checked against the safety analysis principles. Not all of the engineering principles make an equal contribution to the safety of a plant; indeed, assessing the individual contributions would be difficult if not impossible. However, some are of greater importance than others. They may have a major influence on the cost of the plant; or they may not have such an effect on cost but are seen as a fundamental engineering requirement in a safe plant. They may be in neither of these categories but are nevertheless of prime importance - the need for the plant to be based on a sound concept, for example. All of these 'key' principles have been brought together as the first part of this section. (In cases where the structure of later parts of the section would be distorted by their omission, the key principles are repeated again and marked with asterisks in those sections).</p>	Paragraphs 131 to 135	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
104		<p>While not in the same class as the key principles, there are a number of other principles which have a wide (general) application in the assessment of a plant. These are presented in the second part of the section and cover:</p> <p>safety categorisation; codes and standards; reliability; human factors; equipment qualification; plant ageing; plant layout; design data and models;</p> <p>maintenance, inspection and testing; and radiological protection.</p>	<p>Paragraphs 148 to 156 Paragraphs 157 to 161 Paragraphs 166 to 181 Paragraphs 375 to 391 Paragraphs 162 to 165 Paragraphs 194 to 202 Paragraphs 203 to 207 Paragraphs 262-263, 288-291, 315 Paragraphs 187 to 193 Paragraphs 476 to 495</p>	
105		<p>There are some topics whose importance is such that they are given their own sections, external and internal hazards, structural integrity, and safety systems and safety related instrumentation.</p>	<p>Paragraphs 208 to 233 Paragraphs 238 to 257 Paragraphs 336 to 369</p>	<p>Note additional aspects in other parts of SAPs.</p>
106		<p>Finally, the section presents plant specific principles under a number of subheadings; reactor core, heat transport systems etc.</p>	<p>Graphite, paragraphs 303 – 332 Core, paragraphs 439 – 457 Heat transport, paragraphs 458 - 469</p>	
107		<p>The ALARP principle has been discussed in the introduction to the principles and again in Fundamental Principles and Safety Analysis. ALARP applies equally in assessments made against the principles in this section. These principles are intended to assist our assessors, but in using them the assessors need to exercise their judgement in deciding whether the licensee has gone far enough in relation to each of the relevant principles.</p>	<p>Paragraph 135 <i>f</i>.</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
108	P061	<p>Key principles (P61) Potential hazards from operation of the proposed plant should be identified. The design concept should be such that these hazards are avoided and safe conditions are maintained through inherent and, where appropriate, passive features of the design without reliance on control or safety systems.</p>	<p>EKP.1 Paragraphs 136 - 138 Paragraph 146</p>	
109	P062	<p>(P62) The design concept should be such that the sensitivity of the plant to potential faults is minimised. The expected plant response to any initial fault event should be as near to the top of the following list as can reasonably be achieved:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) a failure or maloperation should produce no significant operational response, or should produce a change in the plant state towards a safer condition; (b) following a failure or maloperation, the plant should be rendered safe by the action of passive features or engineered safeguards which are continuously available in the state required to control the fault; (c) following a failure or maloperation the plant should be rendered safe by the action of active engineered safeguards which need to be brought into service in response to the fault. 	<p>EKP.2 Paragraph 139 Paragraph 146 (a) Paragraph 146 (b) & (c)</p>	<p>See also ERC.3 and associated guidance for NPP.</p>
110	P063	<p>(P63) For reactor plants, the following characteristics should be incorporated as far as reasonably practicable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) temperature coefficients of reactivity, power coefficients and coolant voidage co-efficients should be such as to ensure stable reactor behaviour at all times; (b) there should be adequate margins between the normal operational values of safety-related parameters and the values at which the physical barriers to release are challenged; (c) the thermal characteristics should be such that operational perturbations in power or coolant flow do not cause large or rapid temperature changes, or unacceptable changes in the physical state of the coolant, the fuel and the fuel canning material. 	<p>Paragraph 449 Paragraph 441 ERC.3, and paragraph 451</p>	<p>The section on Safety Systems and Safety-related instrumentation gives general guidance on both P63 and P64.</p>

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
111	P064	<p>(P64) For chemical plants:</p> <p>(a) strongly exothermic, or high pressure reactions should be avoided, and any source of energy released into the system should be adequately controlled as should the state of the nuclear matter in the plants;</p> <p>(b) the choice of process materials, their inventories, the process conditions and containment materials should be such as to minimise the consequences of potential faults, and the use or generation of hazardous or toxic materials should be avoided; and</p> <p>(c) the process flowsheet should ensure that process deviation will not move the plant towards an unsafe state.</p>		This material will be addressed in the TAGs.
112	P065	<p>(P65) A nuclear plant should be so designed that defence in depth against potentially significant faults or failures is achieved by:</p> <p>(a) multiple physical barriers to the release of radioactive materials to the environment; and</p> <p>(b) the provision of several levels of protection which will prevent the breach of any barriers or mitigate the consequences of a breach. These levels of protection include not only engineered control and safety systems but also aspects such as conservative design, quality assurance, accident management strategies and off-site emergency response.</p>	<p align="center">EKP.3</p> <p align="center">Paragraph 144</p> <p align="center">Table 1, following paragraph 143</p>	
113	P066	<p>(P66) Novel designs may be accepted provided they are supported by appropriate research and development, and the novel features are adequately tested before coming into service and monitored during service.</p>	<p align="center">Paragraph 239</p>	
114	P067	<p>(P67) Due account should be taken of the need for structures, systems and components important to safety to be designed to be inherently safe or to fail in a safe manner. Potential failure modes should be identified, using a formal analysis where appropriate.</p>	<p align="center">EDR.1</p>	
115	P068	<p>(P68) The design should make the best use of diversity, redundancy and segregation in the structures, systems and components which are important to safety.</p>	<p align="center">EDR.2</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
116	P069	(P69) All structures, systems and components should be allocated a safety categorisation which takes account of the consequences of their potential failure and of the failure frequency requirements placed on them in the safety analysis. This categorisation should be used to determine the standards to which those items should be constructed. See paragraph 131.	ECS.1 Paragraph 148	
117	P070	(P70) Where a structure, system or component forms a principal means of ensuring nuclear safety and it is not practicable to demonstrate that the accident frequency principles P42 to P46 are satisfied in the event of its failure, the plant may only be accepted after the application of a special case procedure agreed as an alternative demonstration. The procedure should include a comprehensive examination of all the relevant scientific and technical issues, taking account as appropriate of precedents set under comparable circumstances in the past.	Paragraphs 177(a)(b) – 178	
118	P071*	(P71) Where the special case procedure is applied or where any safety system is required to achieve a high reliability, an independent assessment of the item should be carried out in addition to the checking provided as part of the design process. The object of the assessment should be to confirm the adequacy of design specification and that the manufacture, construction and commissioning satisfies that specification.	Paragraph 177 (c)	
119	P072	(P72) External and internal hazards which could affect the safety of the plant should be identified. They should be treated as potential initiating events of fault sequences and, where appropriate, taken in combination with other plant faults.	EHA.1 Paragraph 211	
120	P073	(P73) Whenever nuclear matter is present in the plant, adequate safety systems should be available to reduce the frequency or limit the consequences of fault sequences. No fault, internal or external hazard, should disable the safety system(s) provided to safeguard against that event. Control systems and safety systems should therefore be physically separate and should share no equipment or services.	ESS.18 Paragraph 352	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
121	P074	(P74) The layout of safety system equipment and safety-related plant and services should be such as to minimise the effects of internal and external hazards and of any interactions between a failed structure, system or component and other safety-related structures, systems or components.	ELO.4 Paragraph 206 (a)(b)	
122	P075	(P75) A qualification procedure should be in place to confirm that all safety systems and safety related equipment will perform their required safety functions throughout their operational lives, under the operational, environmental and accident conditions specified in the design. The procedure should, where reasonably practicable, include a demonstration that individual items can perform their required functions under the specified conditions.	EQU.1 Paragraph163 Paragraph 164	
123	P076	(P76) Provision should be made for monitoring and inspecting safety systems, safety relate-structures and components in service or at intervals throughout plant life commensurate with the reliability required of each item. In especially difficult circumstances where this cannot be done, either additional design measures should be incorporated to compensate for the deficiency, or it should be demonstrated that the adequate long-term performance will be achieved without such measures.	EMT.6 Paragraph 190	
124	P077	(P77) Normally, a safety system should be automatically initiated. No human action should be necessary for approximately 30 minutes following the start of the requirement for protective action. The design, however, should be such that plant personnel can initiate safety system functions and can perform necessary actions to deal with circumstances which might prejudice safety, but cannot negate correct safety system action at any time.	ESS.8 Paragraph 344 ESS.9 Paragraph 343	
125	P078	(P78) No single random failure assumed to occur anywhere within the safety systems provided to perform a safety function should prevent that function being performed during any normally permissible state of plant availability. Consequential failures resulting from the assumed single failure should be considered as an integral part of the single failure.	EDR.4 Paragraph 175	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
126	P079	(P79) Redundancy should be incorporated within the designs of safety systems so as to achieve required high levels of reliability unless it can be demonstrated with high confidence that the reliability can be achieved by other means.	EDR.2 Paragraph 170 Paragraph 174	
127	P080	(P80) Diversity and segregation should be used as appropriate where the possibility of common cause failures would otherwise threaten the achievement of the reliability required for a safety function.	EDR.2	
128	P081	(P81) Where high reliability is sought from a safety system through the use of redundant identical components, measurements or actions, a common cause failure limitation should be placed on the claimed reliability of the system. This limit should not be lower than one failure in 100 000 demands and may need to be higher depending on the complexity and novelty of the system. Note. The figure of one failure in 100 000 demands represents a judgement by NII of the lowest value of the limit which could reasonably be supported by currently available data and methods of analysis. Nevertheless, it is conceivable that the continuing accumulation of good data and advances in its analysis could lead, in exceptional circumstances, to a situation where a strong case could be made by the licensee for a lower figure. Such a case would not then be ruled out of consideration.	EDR.3 Paragraph 172 Paragraph 172 Paragraph 173	
129		General principles These are the principles which have a wide, and in many cases general, application throughout the engineering assessment.	-	
130	P082	Categorisation, codes and standards (P82) The design should be conservative and follow appropriate national or international codes and standards and the plant should satisfy the requirements of the best practicable standards of manufacture, construction, inspection, maintenance and operation, commensurate both with the safety categorisation and with any relevant reliability requirements of its component parts.	ECS.3 Paragraph 157 Paragraph 158 Paragraph 159	Paragraphs 160 –161 also apply.

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
131	P069*	<p>(P69)* All structures, systems and components should be allocated a safety categorisation which takes account of the consequences of their potential failure and of the failure frequency requirements placed on them in the safety analysis. The safety categorisation should be determined on the following basis:</p> <p>(a) Category 1 - any structure, system or component which forms a principal means of ensuring nuclear safety;</p> <p>(b) Category 2 - any structure, system or component which makes a significant contribution to nuclear safety;</p> <p>(c) Category 3 - any other structure, system or component.</p>	<p>ECS.2</p> <p>Paragraph 154(a)</p> <p>Paragraph 154(b)</p> <p>Paragraph 154(c)</p>	
132	P083	<p>(P83) All structures, systems and components should be designed, constructed and inspected to the highest standards commensurate with their safety categorisation as follows:</p> <p>(a) Category 1 - Conservative design and construction standards should be adopted for this, the highest category together with a strict interpretation of these assessment principles in line with the ALARP requirement. For some items (such as those whose failure would lead directly to an event beyond the design basis) the special case procedure (P70) may need to be used;</p> <p>(b) Category 2 - Appropriate national or international codes or standards should be adopted, with particular consideration being given to demonstrating the ability of the item to perform the required safety function;</p> <p>(c) Category 3 - Normal industrial standards can be applied.</p>	<p>ECS.3</p> <p>Paragraph 158</p> <p>Paragraph 177</p> <p>Paragraph 158</p> <p>Paragraph 158</p>	
133	P084	<p>(P84) Where there is no appropriate code or standard a full justification should be given for the design method adopted. The combining of different design codes and standards for an individual component should be avoided where practicable and should be justified when used.</p>	<p>ECS.4</p>	
134	P085	<p>(P85) Due allowance should be made in the design for degradation processes, including corrosion, erosion, creep, fatigue, and ageing, and for the effects of the chemical and physical environment. The design should allow for any uncertainties in determining the initial state of components and the rate of degradation.</p>	<p>EAD. 2</p> <p>Paragraph 196</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
135	P086	<p>Design data and models (P86) Theoretical models should be employed as appropriate in support or confirmation of the design or as a means of describing safety-related conditions in the plant at any time. Such models should be based on a sound scientific understanding and any necessary assumptions or approximations should demonstrably bias results in a safe direction.</p>	<p>FA.18 ECE.12</p> <p>Paragraph 28</p>	
136	P087	<p>(P87) Analytical models should be validated as a whole or, where this is not practicable, on a module basis, against experiments which replicate as closely as possible the expected plant condition. Care should be exercised in the interpretation of such experiments to take account of uncertainties in replicating the range of anticipated plant conditions. Where appropriate, an independent check of an analysis should be made using different methods or analytical models.</p>	<p>Paragraph 553</p> <p>Paragraph 554</p> <p>Paragraph 556</p>	
137	P088	<p>(P88) The data used in design and fault analysis of safety-related aspects of plant performance should be shown to be valid for the circumstances by reference to established physical data, experiment or other appropriate means. Where uncertainty in the data exists, an appropriate margin in a safe direction should be provided to take account of it. Extrapolation from available data should not be used without good justification.</p>	<p>FA.19</p> <p>Paragraph 558</p> <p>Paragraph 559</p>	
138	P089	<p>(P89) Provision should be made to keep under review new data, scientific knowledge and information from operating experience and incidents occurring on other plants to ensure that the safety case is not invalidated.</p>	<p>Paragraphs 99 - 100</p>	
139		<p>Equipment qualification (P75)* A qualification procedure should be in place to confirm that all safety systems and safety related equipment will perform their required safety functions throughout their operational lives, under the operational, environmental and specified (usually design basis) accident conditions. The procedure should, where reasonably practicable, include a demonstration that individual items can perform their required functions under the specified conditions.</p>	<p>EQU.1</p> <p>Paragraph 163</p> <p>Paragraph 164</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
140	P090	(P90) The equipment qualification procedure should ensure that adequate arrangements exist for the recording and retrieval of lifetime data from the manufacture, testing, inspection and maintenance of safety systems and safety related structures and components to demonstrate that any relevant assumptions made in the safety case remain valid, throughout the design life of the plant.	Paragraph 165	
141	P091	Human factors (P91) For personnel with safety responsibilities, the safety functions required of them should be defined. The definitions should include the responsibilities of operations personnel responsible for monitoring and controlling plant and for responding to faults, and of personnel carrying out maintenance, testing and calibration activities.	Paragraph 377	
142	P092	(P92) Analysis should be carried out of the tasks that will be involved in performing these safety functions to determine the demands on personnel in terms of perception, decision making and action, with a view to evaluating the feasibility of the tasks and providing an input to the design of interfaces in accordance with human capabilities. Task analysis should also provide a basis for developing the design of procedures and of personnel training.	EHF.5 Paragraph 380	
143	P093	(P93) The design of all interfaces between operating personnel and the plant should follow good human factors and ergonomics practice, to ensure compatibility with human psychological and physical characteristics, and to enable the required human tasks to be performed reliably and efficiently. This requirement includes the design of central control rooms and local control stations on the plant, and the provisions for maintenance and testing; particular attention should be paid to display systems, panel layouts and workspace access for maintenance operations, and the physical environment.	EHF.6 Paragraphs 379 - 380 EHF.7 Paragraph 383	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
144	P094	<p>(P94) Interactions with other aspects of human factors should be addressed. Training arrangements for operations personnel and other staff, and the development of operating procedures, should be fully compatible with the design of tasks and of equipment. Operating instructions will need to be validated for reliable interpretation and implementation by the relevant personnel.</p> <p>The influence of proposed staffing levels should be taken into account. Implications for safety management systems should be considered, and any opportunities for promoting the plant safety culture identified.</p>	<p align="center">EHF.8 Paragraph 387</p> <p>Section in leadership and Management for Safety Paragraphs 43 - 69</p>	
145	P074*	<p>Layout (P74)* The layout of safety system equipment and safety-related plant and services should be such as to minimise the effects of internal and external hazards and of any interactions between a failed structure, system or component and other safety-related structures, systems or components.</p>	<p align="center">ELO.4 Paragraph 206</p>	
146	P095	<p>(P95) The layout of buildings and roadways on site should be such that in the event of any internal or external hazard, fault or incident affecting the site:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) an alternative means of access will be available to plant and controls essential to safety which may require local manual intervention; (b) alternative access for personnel rescue equipment will be available to all normally manned areas; (c) safe means of escape will be provided from all buildings or plant areas which may be affected by the incident; (d) where reasonably practicable, site personnel will be physically protected from direct or indirect effects of the incident. 	<p align="center">ELO.1</p> <p>Paragraph 205(d)</p> <p>Paragraph 205(f)</p> <p>Paragraph 205(e)</p> <p>Paragraph 206(c)</p>	
147	P096	<p>(P96) Unauthorised access to or interference with safety systems and their reference data and with safety-related structures and components should be prevented.</p>	<p align="center">ELO.2</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
148	P097	<p>Maintenance, inspection and testing (P97) All safety-related structures, systems and components should, where practicable, be type-tested under conditions at least equal to the most severe expected in all modes of normal operational service before they are installed. For components of particular concern and where it is not possible to confirm the ability to operate under the most onerous design conditions, reference data from commissioning or rig testing should be established for comparison against in-service test results.</p>	<p align="center">EMT.3</p> <p align="center">Paragraph 188</p>	
149	P098	<p>Commissioning and in-service inspection and test procedures should be adopted which ensure initial and continuing quality and reliability. Such inspection should be of sufficient extent and frequency to give adequate confidence that degradation will be detected.</p>	<p align="center">EMT.5</p> <p align="center">Paragraph 189</p>	
150	P099	<p>The design and layout of the plant and all safety-related structures, systems and components should be such as to facilitate inspection, testing, maintenance, modification, repair and replacement in the interests of preserving the plant in a safe state at all times during the plant life.</p>	<p align="center">ELO.1, paragraph 204 f. Paragraph 205 (a)</p>	
151	P76	<p>(P76)* Provision should be made for monitoring and inspecting safety systems, safety-related structures, and components in service or at intervals throughout plant life commensurate with the reliability required of each item. In especially difficult circumstances where this cannot be done, either additional design measures should be incorporated to compensate for the deficiency, or it should be demonstrated that adequate long-term performance will be achieved without such measures.</p>	<p align="center">EMT.6</p> <p align="center">Paragraph 190</p>	
152	P100	<p>Wherever reasonably practicable, provisions should be made for in-service functional testing of all safety systems and other safety-related equipment sufficient to prove the complete system and the safety-related function of each component. Where complete functional testing is claimed not to be reasonably practicable, an equivalent means of functional proving should be demonstrated. It should be possible to carry out these tests without any loss of any safety function.</p>	<p align="center">EMT.7</p> <p align="center">Paragraph 193</p> <p align="center">Paragraph 192</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
153	P101	Provision should be made for periodic measurement of relevant properties of fully representative materials and parameters relevant to the design of plant where properties or parameters could change with time and affect safety.	EAD.4, and EMT.6	
154	P102	Plant ageing (P102) The safe working life of all components, structures and systems which are important to safety should be evaluated and defined at the design stage, with particular emphasis on those components which are judged to be difficult or impracticable to replace. Adequate margins should be built into the design to allow for the effects of time dependent degradation.	EAD.1 Paragraph 194 Paragraph 195	
155	P103	(P103) There should be an adequate margin between the intended operational life and the predicted safe working life of such components, structures and systems.	EAD.2	
156	P104	Radiological Protection (P104) Adequate protection against radiation and contamination in normal operation, and against these and other consequences of fault conditions, should be provided in all parts of the plant to which access can reasonably be gained, preferably by the use of engineered controls and design features. Such protection should permit access to and occupancy of any control room required to achieve and maintain a safe plant state.	RP.1 Paragraph 480	
157	P105	(P105) There should be appropriate personal provisions for the measurement of radiation doses to individuals and devices suitably located for the purposes of monitoring radiological conditions and the assessing of personnel exposures.	Paragraph 481	
158	P106	(P106) Provision should be made on the basis of levels of radiation, contamination and airborne activity for the classification of workplaces into suitable zones, each having appropriate controls on access, occupancy, and the need for protective equipment.	RP.3 Paragraphs 485 and 486	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
159	P107	(P107) The design of the plant should be such that short term radiation exposure of people can be limited by appropriate controls over the occupancy of relevant plant areas and by ensuring that the plant can be operated without the need for access to areas of high dose rate.	Paragraph 205(b), NT.2, paragraph 630	
160	P108	(P108) Where doses are likely to be received which are a significant fraction of an annual limit, access should be controlled by physical means such as interlocks, locked doors or alarms to prevent unauthorised entry; prompt escape by any person from such places should not be obstructed by any feature of the design. Where such control measures are not reasonably practicable an equivalent standard of protection should be ensured by other arrangements.	Paragraph 487	
161	P109	(P109) There should be appropriate provisions for protecting persons entering and working in contaminated areas and for monitoring and controlling the spread of airborne activity, contamination and direct radiation within and beyond each zone. The provisions should include the ventilation of contaminated areas to limit the spread of contamination and appropriate arrangements for preventing the spread of contamination by people.	RP.4 Paragraphs 488 to 490	
162	P110	(P110) Provision should be made for the decontamination of zones to which access may be required and for decontamination of articles removed from contaminated locations. Local decontamination facilities should be provided unless it can be demonstrated that in the particular circumstances a centralised decontamination facility is more appropriate.	RP.5 Paragraph 491	
163	P111	(P111) Manipulation of items exhibiting high surface radiation dose rates should be carried out using remote handling devices. Manipulation of highly contaminated items should wherever possible be carried out in enclosures which provide adequate protection against the spread of contamination.	Paragraph 492	
164	P112	(P112) Vessels, pipework, plant equipment and containment structures which could become contaminated with radioactive material should be designed to facilitate decontamination.	Paragraph 395	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
165	P113	(P113) Instrumentation should be provided where appropriate to give prompt, reliable and accurate indication of radiation and of airborne activity levels in operating areas, and should be fitted with alarms to indicate significant changes in levels. All such equipment should be capable of providing reliable indications and alarms taking into account prevailing environmental conditions.	Paragraphs 483 - 484	
166	P114	Reliability (P114) The reliability claimed for any safety-related structure, system or component should take into account its novelty, the experience relevant to its proposed environment, and the uncertainties in operating and fault conditions, physical data and design methods.	ERL.1	
167	P115	(P115) The measures proposed, including quality assurance, whereby the claimed reliability of systems and components will be achieved in practice should be stated. Evidence should be provided to demonstrate the adequacy of any such measures. All assumptions made in the course of the reliability analysis should be justified.	ERL.2 Paragraph 179	
168		Repeat of P80.	EDR.2	
169	P116	(P116) The assumed reliability of a component should reflect the environmental conditions specified throughout its lifetime. Where data are shown to be inadequate, appropriate measures should be taken to ensure that the onset of failure can be detected, and that the consequences of failure are minimised.	ERL.1 Paragraph 178	
170	P117	(P117) Where reliable and rapid protective action is required, engineered safety features should be provided. For requirements which are less demanding or on a longer timescale, administrative control or personnel actions may be acceptable to complement the engineered systems. The objective should be to minimise the dependence on human action to maintain a safe state.	ERL.3 Paragraph 180	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
171	P118	(P118) Where multiple safety-related systems and/or other means (including physical processes and human actions) are claimed to reduce the frequency of a fault sequence, the reduction in frequency should have a clear margin of conservatism with appropriate allowance for uncertainties.	ERL.4	
172		(P76)* Provision should be made for monitoring and inspecting safety systems, safety-related structures, and components in service or at intervals throughout plant life commensurate with the reliability required of each item. In especially difficult circumstances where this cannot be done, either additional design measures should be incorporated to compensate for the deficiency, or it should be demonstrated that adequate long-term performance will be achieved without such measures.	EMT.6 Paragraph 190	
173	P119	External and internal hazards General (P119) It should be shown for all hazards that the design basis analysis principles and the PSA principles are satisfied as appropriate, unless it can be demonstrated that the frequency of an event being exceeded is less than once in 10 million years, or if the source of the hazard is sufficiently distant that it cannot reasonably be expected to affect the plant.	EHA.1 Paragraph 212	
174	P120	(P120) For natural hazards, the uncertainty of data may prevent reasonable prediction of events for frequencies less than once in 10 000 years. In these cases, plants should meet the requirements of P25 for a design basis event that conservatively has a predicted frequency of being exceeded no more than once in 10 000 years. Plants which cannot give rise to doses as high as those specified in P25 may be designed against more frequent, i.e. less onerous, events.	EHA.4 Paragraph 215	
175	P121	(P121) It should be shown that there will not be a disproportionate increase in risk from an appropriate range of events which are more severe than the design basis event.	EHA.7	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
176	P122	(P122) Hazards should be assumed to occur simultaneously with the most adverse normal plant operating condition and the analysis should also take into account: a) that hazards may occur simultaneously or in a combination which can reasonably be expected; b) that a hazard may occur simultaneously with a plant fault, or when plant is out for maintenance.	EHA.5 Paragraph 217 (a) Paragraph 217 (b)	
177	P123	(P123) Support services and facilities such as access roads, water supplies, fire mains and site communications important to the safe operation of the nuclear plant should be designed and routed so that, in the event of a relevant hazard or other incident, sufficient capability to perform their emergency functions will remain.	Paragraph 207	
178	P124	(P124) Account should be taken of the extent to which the severity of the hazard experienced by the plant is affected by plant layout, and building size and shape.	Paragraph 217 (f) and Paragraph 206(b)	
179	P125	(P125) In all cases either site specific or, if this is not appropriate, best available relevant data should be used to determine the magnitude of the hazard.	EHA.2	
180	P126	Aircraft impact (P126) The predicted frequency of aircraft and helicopter crash on or near safety-related plant at the nuclear site should be determined. The risk associated with the impacts, including the possibility of aircraft fuel ignition, should be determined to establish whether principle P119 is satisfied.	EHA.8 Paragraph 219	
181	P127	(P127) The calculation of crash frequency should include the most recent crash statistics, flight paths and flight movements for all types of aircraft and take into account forecast changes in these factors if they affect the risk. Relevant bodies should be consulted by the licensee with the object of minimising the risk from aircraft approaching or overflying the plant.	Paragraph 218	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
182	P128	<p>Earthquakes (P128) The seismology and geology of the area around the proposed site and the geology of the site should be evaluated. Information on historical and instrumentally recorded earthquakes which have occurred in the region should be established. The extent of the studies carried out by the licensee should cover all those aspects which could affect the estimation of the seismic hazard at the site.</p>	<p>EHA.9 Paragraph 220(a) Paragraph 220(b)</p>	
183	P129	<p>(P129) A design basis earthquake (DBE) should be determined in accordance with Principle P120. This DBE should be defined in terms which will enable buildings, structures and plant in the nuclear installation to be designed to withstand safely the ground motions involved</p>	<p>EHA.9 Paragraph 220(c)</p>	
184	P130	<p>(P130) An operating basis earthquake (OBE) should also be determined so that no safety-related plant, system or structure would be impaired by the repeated occurrence of ground motions at the OBE level. Where possible the plant should be shut down and brought to a safe state whenever the OBE is exceeded and not restarted until inspection has shown that it is safe to do so.</p>	<p>Paragraph 221</p>	
185	P131	<p>(P131) In determining the effect of a seismic event on any plant the simultaneous effect of that event on any other plant in the vicinity and on any system or service which may have a bearing on safety should also be taken into account.</p>	<p>Paragraph 222</p>	
186	P132	<p>Electro-magnetic interference (P132) An assessment should be made to determine whether any source of electro-magnetic interference in the vicinity of the site could cause malfunction in or damage to, safety related equipment or instrumentation. If such interference is possible the design of the plant should be such that protective measures are provided.</p>	<p>Paragraph 223 EHA.10</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
187	P133	<p>Extreme weather conditions (P133) A design basis event for each type of extreme weather condition should be determined in accordance with principle P120. These conditions should include abnormal wind loadings, precipitation, accumulated ice and snow deposits, lightning, extremes of high and low temperature and drought.</p>	<p>EHA.11 Paragraph 224</p>	
188	P134	<p>(P134) The design basis event should take account of combinations of extreme weather conditions reasonably expected to occur and of the effect of failure of non-safety related plant on safety-related plant during such conditions.</p>	<p>Paragraph 225</p>	
189	P135	<p>Fire, explosion, missiles, toxic gases etc (P135) The on-site use and storage of hazardous materials should be kept to a practical minimum, and controlled and located so that any accident to or release of the materials will not jeopardise the establishing of safe conditions on the plant.</p>	<p>EHA.13</p>	
190	P136	<p>(P136) All sources in the plant or outside it which could give rise to fire, explosion, missiles, toxic gases etc should be identified, specified quantitatively and their potential as a source of harm to the nuclear plant estimated. Projects and planned future developments on and off the site should be considered where appropriate.</p>	<p>EHA.14 Paragraph 230(a)</p>	
191	P137	<p>(P137) It should be shown that the nuclear plant is adequately protected from the effects of any incident in an installation, means of transport or pipeline either inside or outside the nuclear site.</p>	<p>Paragraph 230(b)</p>	
192	P138	<p>Flooding (P138) The area around the site should be evaluated to determine the potential for flooding due to precipitation, high tides, overflowing of rivers, failure of dams, tanks or water-carrying systems, seiches and tsunamis.</p>	<p>Paragraph 227</p>	
193	P139	<p>(P139) A design basis flood should be determined in accordance with principle P120. This should take into account as appropriate the combined effects of high tide, wind effects, wave actions, duration of the flood and flow conditions depending on the hazard under consideration.</p>	<p>Paragraph 228</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
194	P140	(P140) The design of the plant should include adequate provisions for the collection and discharge of water reaching the site from any design basis external event or failed systems on site and as far as reasonably practicable to prevent it spreading and hence affecting safety-related plant.	Paragraph 231 EHA.15	
195	P141	Protection against fire (P141) Fire detection and fire-fighting systems of adequate capacity and capability should be provided where appropriate. They should be designed and located so that any damage they may sustain or their spurious operation does not affect the safety of the plant.	EHA.16 Paragraph 232	
196	P142	(P142) To satisfy principle P141 a fire hazard analysis should be made of the plant to: (a) identify safety systems and safety-related plant; (b) analyse the potential for fire initiation and growth and the possible consequences on safety systems and safety-related plant; (c) determine the need for segregation of plant and the location and required fire resistance of boundaries to limit the spread of fire; (d) determine the capacity and capability of the fire (e) detection and fire-fighting systems to be provided.	Paragraph 233(a) Paragraph 233(b) Paragraph 233(c)	
197	P143	(P143) Non-combustible or fire retardant and heat resistant materials should be used wherever practicable throughout the plant.	EHA.17	
198	P144	(P144) Not used.		
199		Structural integrity This section is concerned with the engineering assessment of the integrity of structural components such as pressure vessels, boilers, pressure parts, coolant circuits, metal structures, concrete structures, foundations, pumps, valves, etc. i.e. structural components using metal, concrete and other materials. Any specific differences are stated in the appropriate principles. Where a structural component also forms a containment, principles P222 to P238 should also be used.	Paragraph 238	Content is covered in section content and ventilation paragraph 418 ff.

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
200		<p>The general lack of adequate reliability data for structural components leads to assessment being based primarily on established engineering practice. Even when there is some confidence in assessing reliability on the basis of existing data and a probabilistic safety case is possible, it is unlikely to be acceptable without substantial support from theoretical analyses and engineering judgement. As a result, although the radiological consequences of failure of structural components may be significant, it is often not possible to calculate the risk for inclusion in the PSA.</p>	Paragraph 241	
201		<p>Reference has already been made in paragraph 117 to those special cases where the component forms a principal means of ensuring safety; the reactor pressure vessel is an example. For such components there are two particularly important aspects to be addressed: that the structure should be as defect free as possible, and that it should be demonstrated to be defect tolerant, in particular that the critical crack sizes should be large with respect to the inspection technique. In order to achieve these fundamental requirements, several related but independent arguments should be used, based on the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the use of sound design concepts and proven design features; (b) the analysis of the potential failure modes for all conditions arising from design basis faults; (c) the use of proven materials; (d) the application of high standards of manufacture, including in-process inspection, and construction, for the materials and processes used; (e) high standards of quality assurance throughout all stages of design, procurement, manufacture, construction and operation; (f) pre-service and in-service inspection to detect defects at sizes below those which have the potential for causing or developing into a failure mode, and to size these defects conservatively; (g) the provision of in-service plant and materials monitoring; and (h) the existence of a leak-before-break case. 	<p>Paragraphs 243 – 248</p> <p>EMC.1 and paragraph 249</p> <p>Paragraph 252(a) Paragraph 252(d)</p> <p>Paragraph 252(e) Paragraph 252(f)</p> <p>Paragraph 252(g)</p> <p>Paragraph 252(h)</p> <p>Paragraph 252(j,k)</p>	
202		<p>For components which are not of major safety significance, this list of requirements is also relevant, though the stringency of their application should reflect the safety categorisation of the item. Principles covering those various requirements are presented below, with the exception of those on quality assurance which are in Life-cycle Requirements.</p>	Paragraph 254 to 257	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
203	P145	General (P145) All structures important to safety should be designed, constructed and inspected to the best practicable standards commensurate with their safety categorisation in accordance with P69 and P83.	ECS.3 Paragraph 157	
204	P146	(P146) It should be demonstrated that all safety related structures are as defect free as possible, are tolerant to any remaining defects, and that the existence of defects can be established by inspection throughout the operational life.	EMC.5 EMC.6	
205	P147	Design (P147) For safety-related structures, a schedule of all loading combinations within the design basis together with their frequency should be used as the basis for the design against operating, testing and accident conditions. For more severe loadings, predicted failure modes should be gradual and detectable.	EMC.7 EMC.11	
206	P148	(P148) The product form of metal components or their constituent parts (i.e. plate, forging or casting) should have regard to inspectability and to minimising the number and length of welds in the component where appropriate.	EMC.8 and EMC.9	
207	P149	(P149) A metal pressure retaining boundary should, where appropriate, have design characteristics which prevent fast propagation of any defect. Designs and conditions in which components of the coolant pressure boundary could exhibit brittle behaviour should be avoided.	Paragraph 263 EMC.12	
208	P150	(P150) Where the safety categorisation of a closure or penetration to a pressurised component or system is such that the consequences of its failure could lead to a major release of radioactivity then adequate redundancy and, where reasonably practicable, diversity of closure method should be provided.	EPS.1 Paragraph 234 (a)	
209	P151	(P151) Provision should be made in the design to ensure that mechanical closures cannot be unlocked and removed when it is unsafe to do so and that the correct sequence is followed at all times.	Paragraph 234 (b)	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
210	P152	(P152) Isolation valves should be provided where appropriate in the primary, secondary and auxiliary coolant circuits of nuclear plants. Where practicable, they should be positioned so that their operation minimises the consequences of postulated breaches in the circuit. Piping systems which are connected to or form branches from the primary pressure circuit should be provided with valves as close to the main primary circuit as practicable. The design should include adequate redundancy and diversity of such valves.	EPS.2 Paragraph 235	
211	P153	(P153) Adequate pressure relief systems should be provided for pressurised systems and provision should be made for periodic calibration checks. Where there is need for overpressure protection suitable means should be provided to ensure that any release of radioactivity from the plant to the environment is minimised.	EPS.3 EPS.5	
212	P154	Manufacture and construction (P154) All materials employed in the manufacture and construction should be shown to be suitable in all respects for the purpose of enabling an adequate design to be constructed, operated, inspected and maintained throughout the life of the plant.	EMC.13	
213	P155	(P155) The manufacture and construction should use appropriate materials, proven techniques and approved procedures to minimise the occurrence of defects which might affect the required integrity of structures or components.	EMC.14	
214	P156	(P156) Provision should be made for inspection during manufacture (in-process inspection) to demonstrate that the required high standard of workmanship has been achieved.	EMC.17	
215	P157	(P157) Where non-conformities with the procedures are judged to have a deleterious effect on integrity or significant defects are detected by in-process inspection and remedial work is considered necessary, the remedial work should be carried out to an approved procedure and should be subject to the same design requirements as the original work.	EMC.19	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
216	P158	Operation (P158) Means should be available to detect, locate, monitor and manage leakage which could indicate a potentially unsafe condition or give rise to a significant radiological effect.	EMC.25	
217	P159	(P159) Where a leak before break argument is employed for metal components, the capability and frequency of the monitoring should be commensurate with the fatigue and fracture analysis. It should also be shown by analysis that the escaping fluid itself does not present an unacceptable hazard.	EMC.26 Paragraph 270	
218	P160	(P160) It should be shown that safety-related components can be operated and controlled within a safe operating envelope throughout the operating life. The parameters of the envelope should be consistent with the type of construction, potential modes of failure and operational considerations.	EMC.21 Paragraph 267	
219	P161	(P161) For metal pressure vessels and circuits, the operating regime should ensure that they display ductile behaviour when significantly stressed.	EMC.23	
220	P162	Pre- and in-service inspection and testing (P162) Provision should be made for inspection capable of demonstrating that the structure or component is manufactured to the appropriate standard and at all times fit for purpose during service. For metal components an adequate margin should exist between the capability of the defect detection and the defect sizes of structural concern.	EMC.27 & ECE. EMC.28	
221	P163	(P163) Inspection techniques for structures and components should be sufficiently redundant and diverse. Personnel and equipment performance and procedures should be validated. The safety categorisation (see paragraph 131) should be taken into account, when determining the appropriate level of these measures.	EMC.29 EMC.30 Paragraph 272	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
222	P164	(P164) Structures and components should be proof tested before service, where this is a code requirement or an essential part of the safety case. Where pressure retaining components must not exceed a specified leak rate, this should be confirmed by test.	Paragraph 266	
223	P165	Stress analysis (P165) Stress analysis should be carried out to support the design and should demonstrate that the component has an adequate life, taking into account time dependent processes. The analysis should use methods that have been verified and validated, using model tests if necessary.	EMC.32 Also ECE.12 Paragraph 274 Also Para 292	
224	P166	(P166) The data used in any analysis should be demonstrably conservative. In particular, the uncertainties associated with material properties affected by degradation should be taken into account.	EMC.33 Paragraph 275	
225	P167	(P167) Where appropriate, studies should be carried out to determine the sensitivity of analytical results to the assumptions made, the data used, and the methods of calculation.	Paragraph 276 Also ECE.14	
226	P168	(P168) For components subject to the 'special case' procedure, and where appropriate for other metal components, the sizes of defects of structural concern should be calculated, using verified and validated fracture mechanics methods.	EMC.34	
227	P169	Additional civil engineering principles (P169) Investigations should be carried out to determine the suitability of the natural site materials to support the foundation loadings specified for normal operation and fault conditions. Such investigations should follow codes and standards applicable to the structures proposed.	ECE.4 Paragraph 286	
228	P170	(P170) The design of foundations should utilise information derived from site and geological investigation. This information should include soil dynamic properties and any potential for liquefaction.	ECE.5 Paragraph 287	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
229	P171	(P171) The foundations should be shown to be adequate for supporting the structural loadings specified for normal operation and fault conditions.	ECE.7	
230	P172	(P172) The design should be such that excavated slopes adjacent to nuclear installations are stable, and ground water draw-down will not affect such installations.	ECE.9 and ECE.10 Paragraph 291 <i>f</i> .	
231	P173	(P173) The design should take account of the possible presence of naturally occurring explosive gases or vapours in underground structures such as tunnels, trenches and basements.	ECE.11	
232	P174	(P174) All civil engineering structures should be identified and it should be determined whether they are directly or indirectly safety-related. They should be categorised according to the potential consequences of their failure and their safety functions should be stated. The required performance of the structures under all normal operating and fault conditions should be specified on the basis of this classification.	ECE.1 Paragraph 285	
233	P175	(P175) Where analyses have been carried out on civil structures to derive static and dynamic structural loadings for the design, the methods used should be adequately verified and validated, if necessary by model test.	ECE.15 Paragraphs 294 to 296	
234	P176	(P176) Civil engineering structures which retain or prevent liquid or gaseous leakage should be tested against the leak tightness requirements prior to operation to demonstrate that the design intent has been met. Where appropriate, drainage systems with sampling and/or detection provisions should be provided to confirm the containment integrity of the structures or to collect and quantify leakages.	ECE.22 Paragraph 300	
235	P177	(P177) Provision should be made for the routine inspection of sea and river flood defences to determine their continued fitness for purpose. This provision should cover such aspects as erosion and degradation of materials and structures which protect the site.	ECE.23 Paragraph 301	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
236		<p>Safety systems and safety-related instrumentation Nuclear plants use a variety of systems concerned with safety. At the highest level of importance there are the safety systems. These are provided to detect potentially dangerous plant failures and to implement appropriate safety actions. The 'safety systems' principles below apply to all of the engineered systems upon which any safety function depends. They encompass, therefore, (a) protection systems which sense unsafe conditions in the plant and automatically initiate the operation of the appropriate systems for maintaining a safe condition, (b) safety actuation systems, such as heat removal systems and reactor shutdown systems which are brought in to assure the preservation of a safe condition within the plant and (c) essential services (or safety system support features) which provide electrical or pneumatic power, cooling and lubrication required by the protection system and the safety actuation systems.</p>	Paragraph 333	
237		<p>The primary task of the essential services (or safety system support features) is that of serving the protection and safety actuation systems, but they may additionally serve specific safety-related systems and also be linked to systems external to the plant. Some further principles, therefore, are applicable to essential services and they are covered under that heading at the end of this section.</p>	Paragraph 370	
238		<p>There are other systems, known as safety-related systems, which, while having a significant influence on safety, do not have a direct fault sequence termination function. Some safety-related systems such as ventilation and containments systems, fire-fighting etc, are covered elsewhere in the principles. However, because of its close relationship with safety systems, safety-related instrumentation (which includes the plant control system, indicating and recording instrumentation, alarm systems and communications systems) is included in this section.</p>	Paragraph 363	
239		<p>There is also a group of safety-related instrumentation used for the detection of criticality incidents, i.e. incidents involving the inadvertent accumulation into a critical mass of material which can undergo nuclear fission. This group of instruments is covered by a separate set of principles in this section.</p>	Paragraph 364	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
240		Not all of the principles relevant to safety systems and safety-related instrumentation are included here, however. Additional principles which also should be applied are to be found under the heading General Principles in this section. In particular, where a computerised safety system is used, because the technology is not amenable to the traditional methods of reliability assessment, the special case procedure of P70 applies. P179 presents the elements of such a procedure to demonstrate the adequacy of a safety system using software-based technology.	Paragraph 335 ESS.27	
241	P178	General (P178) The extent of safety system provisions, their functions, and required reliabilities should be determined from a safety schedule using the analysis specified in P26. For each system there should also be a demonstration of adequacy of the system design as the means of achieving the specified function and reliability.	Para 346 ESS.11	
242	P179	(P179) Where the design is such that the system reliability is significantly dependent upon the performance of computer software, the establishment of, and compliance with, appropriate standards and practices throughout the software development life-cycle should be made, commensurate with the level of reliability required, by: (a) the thorough application of technical design practice consistent with accepted standards for the development of safety critical software; (b) the implementation of an adequate quality assurance programme and plan in accordance with appropriate quality assurance standards; (c) complete, and preferably diverse, checking of the finally validated production software by a team which is independent of the system suppliers; (d) the application of a comprehensive and independently assessed testing programme formulated to check every system function and to demonstrate the system reliability.	ESS.27 Paragraph 360(a) Paragraph 360(b) Paragraph 360(c) Paragraph 361	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
243	P180	<p>Capability (P180) A reactor should be provided with systems which can shut it down safely in normal operating and fault conditions and maintain it in the shutdown condition with a margin of reactivity that allows for systematic changes and uncertainties in nuclear characteristics, variations in plant state and other processes or mechanisms which might affect the reactivity of the core. The safety systems of non-reactor plant should similarly be capable of achieving and maintaining a defined safe state.</p>	<p>Paragraph 336</p> <p>ESS.1</p>	
244	P181	<p>(P181) All variables used to initiate a safety system action should be identified and shown to be sufficient for the purpose of protecting the plant. The limiting conditions for these variables for which the safety system has been qualified should be specified. The safety system should be designed to respond so that these limiting conditions are not transgressed.</p>	<p>ESS.4</p> <p>Paragraph 339</p>	
245	P182	<p>(P182) The protection system should employ diversity in the detection of fault sequences, preferably by the use of different variables, and in the initiation of the safety system action to terminate the sequences.</p>	<p>ESS.7</p>	
246	P183	<p>(P183) The capability of a safety system, and of each of its constituent sub-systems and components, should exceed by a clear margin the maximum service requirement(s), which should be defined. The selected margin should make due allowance not only for uncertainties in plant characteristics but also for the effects of all foreseeable degradation mechanisms.</p>	<p>ESS.10</p> <p>Paragraph 345</p>	
247	P184	<p>(P184) Adequate provisions should be made to prevent the infringement of any service requirement of a safety system, its sub-systems and components. Where prevention, or acceptably low likelihood, of infringement cannot be demonstrated, features should be incorporated to ensure a fail-safe outcome.</p>	<p>ESS.12</p> <p>Paragraph 347</p> <p>Paragraph 348</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
248	P185	<p>(P185) Adequate provisions, which should be classified as safety or safety-related systems as appropriate, should be made:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) in a central control room; and (b) at emergency locations (preferably a single point) which will remain habitable during all foreseeable plant emergencies; <p>to enable the monitoring of the plant state in relation to safety and the taking of any necessary safety actions.</p>	<p align="center">ESS.3</p> <p>Paragraph 338 (a) Paragraph 338 (b)</p>	
249	P186	<p>(P186) There should be a clear and preferably direct means of confirming to operating personnel:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) that a demand for safety system action has arisen; (b) that the safety actuation systems have operated fully; and (c) either directly or otherwise whether any limiting condition for which the safety system has been qualified has been exceeded. 	<p align="center">ESS.13</p>	
250	P187	<p>(P187) Safety system actions, and all associated alarms, should not be self-resettable irrespective of the subsequent state of the initiating fault.</p>	<p align="center">ESS.14</p>	
251	P188	<p>(P188) Where practicable, following the establishment of safety system action, the maintenance of a safe plant state should not depend on an external source of energy.</p>	<p align="center">ESS.16</p>	
252	P189	<p>Failure independence (P189) All foreseeable faults within a safety system which could cause any single plant variable, or combination of variables, to change to significantly less safe values should be identified and, as necessary, avoidance measures or appropriate protective features provided.</p>	<p align="center">ESS.17</p>	
253	P190	<p>(P190) There should be adequate segregation between independent parts of the safety system (including pipework and cabling) and also between the safety system and other plant equipment which, in the event of a fault, might jeopardise the safe working of the safety system.</p>	<p align="center">Paragraph 352</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
254	P191	(P191) The interfaces required between a safety system and the plant in order to detect any fault sequence and bring about a safe plant state should be engineered by means that have a direct, known, timely and unambiguous relationship with plant behaviour.	ESS.5	
255	P192	(P192) In those cases where it is not possible to use a directly related variable to detect a fault sequence, the actual variable chosen should have a known relationship with the fault sequence and the physical and temporal coupling between the two should be as close as possible. Any mechanism for the transmission of misleading information should be analysed and appropriate countermeasures adopted.	ESS.6 Paragraph 341	
256	P193	(P193) A safety system should be dedicated to the single task of performing its safety function. Where it is necessary for other functions to be encompassed, the whole system should be classified as a safety system and the safety function should not be jeopardised by the other functions.	ESS.19 Paragraph 353	
257	P194	(P194) No means should be provided, or readily available, by which the configuration of a safety system, its operational logic or the associated data (trip levels, etc) may be altered other than via a specifically engineered, and adequately safe- guarded, maintenance/testing facility, used under strict administrative control.	ESS.15	
258	P195	(P195) Connections between any part of a safety system, other than the safety system support features, and a system external to the plant should be avoided if possible, but otherwise should be restricted in function to that of monitoring only. The temporary or permanent connection to such external equipment should incorporate adequate isolation features so that no fault associated with that equipment or its connections will jeopardise the safety system.	ESS.20 Paragraph 354	
259	P196	Reliability (P196) As far as practicable the design of a safety system should avoid complexity, apply a fail-safe approach and incorporate the means of revealing faults from their times of occurrence.	ESS.21	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
260	P197	(P197) The design of a safety system should be such as to avoid a frequency of spurious operation which might directly or indirectly degrade safety.	ESS.22	
261	P198	<p>Testing and maintenance (P198) In determining the safety system provisions (see Principle 178) allowance should be made for the unavailability of equipment due to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) testing and maintenance; and (b) non-repairable equipment failures. <p>The minimum amount of operational safety system equipment for which any specified plant operation will be permitted should be defined and shown to meet the single failure principle (P78).</p>	ESS.23, Paragraph 357(a) and (b) ESS.24	
262	P199	(P199) It should be ensured in the design that the maintenance and testing of a safety system has no potential to initiate a fault sequence within safety-related plant.	ESS.26	
263	P200	(P200) As far as possible, the vetoing or the taking out of service of any safety system function should be avoided. Where nevertheless such action is proposed, each need should be justified and the adequacy of its implementation demonstrated. In a safety system comprising several redundant or diverse sub-systems no single such action should affect more than one sub-system.	ESS.25 Paragraph 358	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
264	P201	<p>Safety-related instrumentation (P201) Sufficient indicating and recording instrumentation and controls should be available to the plant operator in a central control room, and as necessary at appropriate locations on the plant to provide:</p> <p>(a) adequate monitoring of the state of the plant and the status of all plant equipment; (b) timely and conspicuous early warning of any safety-related changes of state; and (c) the means of identifying, initiating and confirming all necessary safety actions.</p> <p>The provisions should encompass the circumstances both of normal operation and of postulated fault conditions including, where reasonably practicable, severe accidents.</p>	<p>ESR.1, EHF.7</p> <p>Paragraph 385(a) Paragraph 385(b) Paragraph 385(c)</p> <p>Paragraph 366</p>	
265	P202	<p>(P202) Adequate communications systems should be provided to enable information and instructions to be transmitted between locations and to provide external communications with auxiliary services and such other organisations as may be required. These communication systems should not have any adverse effect on safety systems or other safety-related instrumentation systems.</p>	<p>ESR.7</p> <p>Paragraph 368</p>	
266	P203	<p>(P203) All safety-related instrumentation should be operated from power supplies whose reliabilities are consistent with the functions being performed. In the cases of monitoring, warning, and communication functions the supplies should be uninterruptible.</p>	<p>ESR.6</p> <p>Paragraph 367</p>	
267	P204	<p>(P204) Instrumentation should be provided to enable monitoring of the locations and quantities of radioactive materials which may escape from their engineered environment.</p>	<p>ESR.8</p>	
268	P205	<p>(P205) The minimum safety-related instrumentation for which plant operation may be permitted should be specified and its adequacy justified.</p>	<p>ESR.4</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
269	P206	(P206) Control systems should respond in a timely and stable manner to normal plant disturbances without causing demands on the safety systems.	ESR.9	
270	P207	(P207) An analysis should be provided which identifies the foreseeable ways in which control systems under fault conditions, including multiple control faults, could generate demands on plant safety systems. Faults in control systems and other safety-related instrumentation should not cause an excessive frequency of demands on a safety system.	Paragraph 369 ESR.10	
271	P208	(P208) Where computers or programmable devices are used in safety-related systems evidence should be provided that the hardware and software are designed, manufactured and installed to appropriate standards, in accordance with the categorisation of paragraph 131.	ESR.5	
272	P209	(P209) The reliability, accuracy, stability, response time, range and, where appropriate, the readability of all safety-related instrumentation should be adequate for its required service.	ESR.2	
273		Criticality incident detection (CID) systems Safety systems should be provided to deal with criticality incidents in line with the requirements of the principles presented above and they should be the primary defence against such events. However, in many operating situations it is not possible to be confident that all the potential criticality fault sequences have been foreseen and, therefore, that the safety systems will be adequate. A CID system provides an additional layer of safety by causing prompt evacuation of personnel and therefore limitation of consequential dose.	Paragraph 364	
274		A CID system is strictly an alarm system and therefore is classified as safety-related instrumentation for the purposes of the application of safety assessment principles. The following specific principles also should be applied.	Paragraph 364	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
275	P210	Principles (P210) Adequate criticality incident detection (CID) systems should be provided at all places where fissile material is present, unless an assessment shows that a criticality excursion of the maximum foreseeable size could not give any individual a whole body dose exceeding the annual dose limit or that the predicted frequency of the excursion is acceptably low.	Paragraph 484	
276	P211	(P211) The areas from which evacuation is required should be defined. When triggered the CID system should give an audible alarm of adequate strength throughout the whole of that area and should continue to sound until manually reset. The reset facility should be located outside the evacuation area and access restricted to authorised personnel.	-	AM.1 and associated guidance is relevant.
277	P212	P212) The electrical power supply to the CID system should be capable of maintaining effective surveillance and support of its alarm operation for a period sufficient to ensure safety following loss of normal electrical supplies.	ESR.6 is relevant	
278	P213	(P213) The reliability requirements of the CID system should be specified and justified. Reliability assessments should be provided which demonstrate that the system meets these requirements.	Paragraph 364	
279		Essential services Essential services are all those resources necessary to maintain the safety systems in an operational state at all times; and they may also provide supplies to safety-related systems. The services may include electricity, gas, water, compressed air, fuel and lubricants, and may need to satisfy two requirements. The first requirement is to provide a guaranteed, or non-interruptable short term supply to ensure continuity until the long-term essential supply is established, and the second is to ensure that there is adequate capacity to supply the service until normal supplies can be restored. The following principles are additional to the safety system principles above, which also apply to essential services.	Paragraph 370	
280	P214	Principles (P214) Essential services should be provided to ensure the maintenance of a safe plant state in normal operation and fault conditions.	EES.1	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
281	P215	(P215) Where a service is obtained from a source external to the nuclear site that service should where practicable also be obtainable from a back-up source on the site.	EES.2	
282	P216	(P216) Each back-up source should have the capacity, availability and reliability to meet the maximum requirements of all of its dependent systems and to provide that service for a sufficient period of time to allow the plant to be brought to a safe state and maintained therein until such time as the normal supply is restored.	EES.3 Paragraph 371	
283	P217	(P217) Where essential services are shared with other plants on a multi-plant site, the effect of the sharing should be taken into account in assessing the adequacy of the supply.	EES.4	
284	P218	(P218) Alternative sources of essential services should be designed so that their reliability would not be prejudiced by adverse conditions in the normal services to which they provide a back-up.	EES.6	
285	P219	(P219) Protection devices provided for essential service components or systems should be limited to those which are necessary and which are consistent with plant requirements. Their possible action should be taken into account in the reliability assessment.	EES.7 Paragraph 374	
286	P220	(P220) Where a source external to the nuclear site is employed as the only source of the essential services needed to provide adequate protection, the specification and in particular the availability and reliability should be the same as for an on-site source.	EES.8	
287	P221	(P221) For essential electrical systems, the services should be so designed that the simultaneous loss of normal and on-site a.c. electrical power will not lead to unacceptable consequences in the short term.	EES.9	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
288		<p>Plant specific principles Containment and ventilation Containment and ventilation systems are provided to control the spread of nuclear matter within the plant and its escape to the environment, in normal operation and fault conditions. The term 'containment' encompasses a wide range of structures and plant items, from the massive buildings surrounding power reactors to glove boxes. Containments often have associated systems, such as cooling systems and sprays, which are considered to be part of the containment system.</p>	<p>Paragraph 418 Paragraph 419</p>	
289		<p>Ventilation systems are also important in limiting the spread of radioactive contamination. To this end, the plant may be divided into zones separated by barriers. Each zone is ventilated so that there is a pressure gradient between adjacent zones, the aim being to ensure that any movement of radioactive material is from the zone with the lowest to that with the highest potential for contamination. The ventilation system includes any equipment such as filters or other gas cleaning facilities which may be provided to mitigate the consequences of radioactive release.</p>	<p>Paragraph 420 Paragraph 436(c) Paragraph 435</p>	
290		<p>Where equipment forming part of containment and ventilation systems serves as part of a safety system the general principles applicable to engineering and safety systems should also be applied.</p>	<p>Paragraph 421</p>	
291		<p>The potential for a fire can have a major impact on the design of the ventilation and containment system, influencing for example the position, number and type of fire dampers. In addition to the principles in this section, other impacts of fire may need to be considered, and reference should be made to Protection Against Fire</p>	<p>Paragraph 422</p>	
292	P141 to P143	<p>(P141 to P143) The principles in this section apply generally unless the wording makes it clear that limited application was intended or unless it can be shown that the total amount of nuclear matter concerned is sufficiently small or is in such a chemical or physical form as to make it unnecessary to apply any one or more of the principles.</p>	<p>-</p>	<p>Introductory Paragraphs</p>

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
293	P222	<p>Containment (P222) Containment and associated systems should be provided as appropriate for nuclear plant to limit radioactive releases to the environment in normal operation and fault conditions and to protect the plant from external hazards.</p>	<p align="center">ECV.2 Paragraph 423</p>	
294	P223	<p>(P223) Nuclear matter should be adequately contained and ventilated until it is discharged or disposed of as radioactive waste under an authorisation granted in accordance with the Radioactive Substances Act 1960.</p>	<p align="center">ECV.1</p>	
295	P224	<p>(P224) Containment boundaries should be defined. The containment should be capable of withstanding the effect of internal and external hazards in accordance with the provisions of principles P119 to P143 above so that the safe state of the plant is maintained.</p>	<p align="center">Paragraph 424(a) & (c)</p>	
296	P225	<p>(P225) There should be adequate provision for making the plant safe following any incident involving the release of nuclear matter within or from a containment, and the necessary equipment should be provided so that if necessary decontamination and post-incident re-entry can be safely carried out.</p>	<p align="center">Paragraph 424(d)</p>	
297	P226	<p>(P226) Attention should be paid to the possibility of nuclear matter escaping from containment via routes installed for other purposes. Penetrations of the containment should be minimised in size and number and adequately sealed, and piping, ducting and drains which may serve as routes for escape or leakage from containment should themselves be contained and provided with an appropriate means of isolation, monitoring and alarm systems where feasible.</p>	<p align="center">Paragraph 424(e)</p>	
298	P227	<p>(P227) The use of ducts which must be sealed by isolating valves under accident conditions should be avoided as far as reasonably practicable. The facilities provided for the isolation of such penetrations should be consistent with the required containment duties and should not prejudice adequate containment performance.</p>	<p align="center">Paragraph 424(f)</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
299	P228	(P228) The containment may be provided with a pressure relief system if a safety advantage can be shown. In the event that the relief system operates during or following faults the performance of the containment should remain adequate.	Paragraph 424(g) Paragraph 425	
300	P229	(P229) Pressure relief devices should be provided with an appropriate treatment system to reduce the radioactive releases to acceptable levels. The system should be capable of operating under fault conditions.	Paragraph 435	
301	P230	(P230) Waste storage vessels, process vessels, piping and other plant items which act as containment for nuclear matter should be provided, where appropriate, with: (a) a further barrier or barriers (secondary containment) having sufficient capacity to deal safely with the leakage resulting from any design basis accident; (b) mechanisms for the safe relocation of the bulk material from both primary and secondary containments; (c) redundant storage with sufficient capacity and associated services to ensure prolonged safe storage of the maximum anticipated volume of material requiring relocation, allowing for any volume increase due to the method of transfer (e.g. the use of ejectors).	ECV.4 Paragraph 424(g) Paragraph 426	
302	P231	(P231) Monitoring devices with alarms, and facilities for sampling should be provided as necessary to ensure detection and aid assessment of unplanned or uncontrolled changes in the volume of nuclear matter (caused for example by a leakage of cooling water or swelling of ion exchange resins) or in the radioactivity of the materials within the containment.	ECV.6	
303	P232	(P232) Where facilities are required for bringing nuclear matter out of the plant containments, the number of such facilities should be minimised and the design should ensure that the overall containment and ventilation standards are not degraded and, where appropriate, it should: (a) provide remote handling devices and means to facilitate their operation, decontamination and repair; and (b) b) provide additional containment, local ventilation, and shielding.	ECV.8 ECV.9 Paragraph 433	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
304	P233	(P233) Appropriate sampling and monitoring systems and other facilities should be provided to monitor safety-related conditions within the containment and to detect, locate, identify and quantify leakages of nuclear matter from the containment under normal and accident conditions. There should be provision for environmental surveys in the vicinity of plant.	ECV.7 Paragraph 431	
305	P234	(P234) The need for access by personnel to the containment should be reduced to the minimum that is reasonably practicable. There should be no requirement for access to the containment to ensure the safety of the plant in either the short term or long term following an accident. Such access facilities as may be provided should be designed so as to ensure that at all times the containment will perform its safety function adequately.	ECV.5 Paragraph 428 Paragraph 427	
306	P235	(P235) Where routine personnel access to the containment interior or other hazardous areas is necessary, appropriate emergency escape and rescue facilities should be provided.	Paragraph 205(e)	
307	P236	(P236) Where appropriate, the plant design should facilitate the removal and reinstatement of shielding and containment for maintenance purposes.	Paragraph 424 (h) & (i)	
308	P237	(P237) The design of glove boxes and their ventilation systems should be such as to prevent over-pressurisation, and accommodate failure of the glovebox pressure envelope.	Paragraph 429 (a) & (b)	
309	P238	(P238) Movement of material into and out of gloveboxes should be by means of an engineered transfer system.	-	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
310	P239	<p>Ventilation (P239) The plant design should incorporate a ventilation system, including filtration or other appropriate treatment systems, which will under normal operating and fault conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) provide a suitable working environment for personnel and safety related equipment particularly in the control rooms; (b) ensure that the flow of ventilation air within buildings is always from zones of lower to higher levels of potential contamination, and maintain the segregation of process and breathing zone air streams; (c) control the dispersal of contamination, and reduce the concentration of airborne activity in the plant atmosphere and in aerial discharges; (d) control the temperature, pressure and composition, including where appropriate the moisture content, of the atmosphere inside the containment as necessary; (e) segregate and isolate hazards and prevent the mixing of ventilation streams of different hazard potentials, e.g. explosive, toxic and radioactive, until they have been neutralised; (f) minimise the risk arising from the chemical and toxic properties of process materials and from explosive mixtures, including gases and vapours, which may be generated; (g) facilitate, where appropriate, permanent or temporary access to plant zones without impairing the performance of the system; (h) restrict the outward flow of building air to appropriately controlled discharge points. 	<p>Paragraph 436(a)</p> <p>Paragraph 436(c)</p> <p>Paragraph 436(d)</p> <p>Paragraph 436(e)</p> <p>Paragraph 436(j)</p> <p>Paragraph 436(i)</p> <p>Paragraph 436(k)</p> <p>Paragraph 436(l)</p>	
311	P240	<p>(P240) In the design, account should be taken of wind velocity, of possible air pressure fluctuations caused by nearby structures and discharges from other plants. Intakes should be sited so as to avoid contamination of intake air during normal and fault conditions in the plant and on the site. Inlet filters should be provided where appropriate.</p>	<p>Paragraph 436(g)</p> <p>Paragraph 436(m)</p>	
312	P241	<p>(P241) The location of ventilation filters should be such that dose rates to plant personnel are minimised; where necessary, shielding should be provided. There should be provision for the safe replacement of filter elements and the safe storage of contaminated filters. Facilities should be provided to enable filters to be changed while maintaining the effectiveness of the ventilation system.</p>	<p>Paragraph 437</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
313	P242	(P242) The design should provide for: monitoring and testing of ventilation systems and associated filters and gas treatment systems to ensure that they continue to meet the design requirements; appropriate alarm/control systems on key plant parameters.	Paragraph 438 Paragraph 400	
314		Heat transport systems The principles in this part relate to the systems required to transport heat within the plant both in normal operation and fault conditions.	Paragraph 458	
315		The general principles cover the full range of heat transfer applications in reactors, chemical plants, fuel storage ponds etc. These are followed by some principles applicable only to reactors.	Paragraph 458	
316	P243	General (P243) The various sources of heat to be added to or removed from any system and its component parts under normal and fault conditions should be quantified and the uncertainties estimated in each case. Heat transport systems should be designed so that heat may be added or removed at an adequate rate at all times.	Paragraph 460 EHT.1	
317	P244	(P244) Inherent cooling processes such as natural circulation can be taken into account in assessing the effectiveness of the heat transport system, providing they are shown to be effective in the conditions for which they are claimed.	Paragraph 461	
318	P245	(P245) In the case of liquid heat transport systems there should be an adequate margin against failure of the operating heat transfer regime under all anticipated normal and fault conditions and procedures. The minimum value of this margin should be stated and justified with reference to the uncertainties in the data and in the calculational methods employed.	Paragraph 462	
319	P246	(P246) The properties of any heat transport fluid, its composition and impurity levels should be so specified as to minimise adverse interactions with plant components and any degradation of the fluid caused by radiation. Appropriate chemical and physical parameters should be monitored and filtration, processing or other plant provided to ensure that the specified limits can be maintained.	Paragraph 465	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
320	P247	(P247) Safety-related structures and plant should be protected as appropriate from the radiation, thermal and dynamic effects of any fault involving the heat transport fluids.	Paragraph 464(a)	
321	P248	(P248) Where mutually incompatible heat transport fluids are used within the plant, provision should be made to prevent harm to personnel and safety-related structures in the event of such mixing.	Paragraph 466	
322	P249	(P249) The design, construction and operation of the plant and the choice of heat transfer fluid should be such that the amount of radioactive material in that fluid is kept to a minimum. Facilities should be provided where appropriate to remove radioactive materials from the heat transport fluid and associated containment.	Paragraph 469	
323	P250	(P250) Adequate provisions should be made in the design to prevent failure of the heat transport system, of its containment or changes in geometry that could adversely affect the heat transfer process, or safeguards should be available to maintain the plant in a safe condition and prevent any release in excess of safe limits.	EHT.4	
324	P251	(P251) Provision should be made to minimise the effects of faults within the plant which may propagate through the heat removal (and ventilation) systems.	Paragraph 464(a)	
325	P252	(P252) Unless monitoring or analysis demonstrates it to be otherwise, any potential contaminated heat transport fluid which leaks from the containment and all contaminated spent fluid should be regarded as radioactive waste and handled in accordance with the requirements of principles P294 to P307.	EHT.5	Management of radioactive waste is a section in its own right.
326	P253	(P253) Adequate provision should be made for the detection of significant loss of heat transport fluid or any diverse change in heat transport which might lead to an unsafe state.	Paragraph 464(b)	
327	P254	(P254) Where appropriate, provision should be made for a sufficient and reliable supply of reserve heat transfer fluid, separate from the normal supply, to be available in adequate time in the event of any significant loss of heat transfer fluid.	Paragraph 464(c)	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
328	P255	Reactor Specific Principles (P255) Provision should be made for removal of the decay heat from the reactor to an adequate heat sink at any time throughout the life of the plant irrespective of the availability or otherwise of external resources.	EHT.3 Paragraph 440(b)	
329	P256	(P256) As far as reasonably practicable, reactor components should be fabricated from materials which are free of elements susceptible to neutron activation and liable to contaminate the heat transport system.	Paragraph 467	
330	P257	(P257) Facilities for removing and storing the reactor coolant to allow inspection and repair work should be provided where appropriate and reasonably practicable.	Paragraph 468	
331	P258	(P258) Possible effects of changes in coolant condition or composition on the nuclear reactivity of the reactor core should be identified and adequate provision should be made to limit the consequences of any adverse change of this kind either by the provision of appropriate protective systems or by the selection of appropriate reactor core design parameters.	Paragraph 450	
332	P259	(P259) Adequate provisions should be made in the design to minimise leakage of the reactor coolant and keep it within specified limits.	Paragraph 464(b)	
333		Reactor core The principles described in this part apply to the reactor core as an assembly and to its main elements, the fuel and neutron absorbers, and breeder assemblies in fast reactors, individually when in that core. The principles relate to the requirements to control reactivity, heat generation and heat removal so that the fuel within the reactor can be kept within specified limits set to ensure safety during operation. Principles	Paragraph 439	
334	P260	Principles (P260) It should be shown that the reactor core design takes account of all operating modes including normal operation, refuelling, testing, shutdown and fault conditions.	ERC.1	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
335	P261	(P261) The core should be stable in normal operation and should not undergo sudden changes of condition when operating parameters go outside the specified range. The stress and strain limits for the core structure and the fuel should ensure that their geometry will be adequately maintained.	ERC.3 Paragraph 448	
336	P262	(P262) The design of the core should take account of all identifiable environmental effects including irradiation, chemical and physical processes, and static and dynamic mechanical loads; and also of thermal distortion, thermally-induced stress, possible variations in manufacture and any other identified safety-related factor.	Paragraph 452	
337	P263	(P263) The core should be securely supported and positively located with respect to other components in the reactor. Gross unplanned movements of the structure of the core or adverse internal movements should be prevented by design.	Paragraph 453	
338	P264	(P264) The geometry of the core should be maintained within limits which enable passage of sufficient coolant to remove heat from all parts of the core. Where appropriate, means should be provided to reduce to a minimum the chance of any obstruction of the coolant flow which could lead to damage to the core as a result of overheating.	Paragraph 447	
339	P265	(P265) The design of the core should be such that reactor shutdown is not inhibited by mechanical failure, distortion, erosion, corrosion, etc of plant components or by the physical behaviour of the reactor coolant, under normal operation or fault conditions.	Paragraph 445	
340	P266	(P266) All components of the core should be mutually compatible and compatible with the remainder of the plant.	Paragraph 454	
341	P267	(P267) The incorrect location in the core of any safety-related components including fuel elements, breeder elements and absorbers should be physically inhibited as far as practicable.	Paragraph 455	
342	P268	(P268) The core should be so designed that all safety-related conditions can be monitored to an adequate degree of accuracy.	ERC.4	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
343	P269	(P269) Fuel assemblies should be designed to permit adequate inspection of their structure and parts before loading into the core and provision should be made as appropriate for in-service monitoring and post-irradiation inspection to confirm fuel behaviour and performance.	Paragraph 456	
344	P270	(P270) The loss from, or addition to, the core of any component or any movement of any component within it which could cause a fault condition, as a result of an increase in nuclear reactivity or reduction in coolant flow, should be prevented by design.	Paragraph 446	
345	P271	(P271) The nuclear characteristics of the core should be such that temperature changes or coolant voiding, or changes in core geometry, which could occur in normal operation or fault conditions do not cause uncontrollably large or rapid increases in reactivity. There should be adequate design margins to ensure that any reactivity changes do not lead to unacceptable consequences.	ERC.3 Paragraph 449	
346	P272	(P272) No moveable fissile assembly or absorber when added to or removed from the core should increase the nuclear reactivity by an amount greater than the shutdown margin, with an appropriate allowance for uncertainty.	Paragraph 443	
347	P273	(P273) The design should be such that all fuel can be removed from the reactor, despite any environmentally induced damage such as bowing or from other damage occurring in normal operation and in design basis fault conditions.	Paragraph 457	
348	P274	(P274) The design should be such as to prevent overheated fuel causing failure of the primary coolant circuit or the fuel geometry being so changed as to affect adversely the heat transport process. Safeguards should be available to maintain the plant in a safe condition if this is not practicable.	Paragraph 447	
349	P275	Shielding (P275) The design of shielding should be such that the radiation dose rates do not exceed the levels of principle P14 and should take account of the likely build-up of radiation levels during the life of the plant.	RP.6 Paragraph 493(a)	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
350	P276	<p>(P276) Special precautions should be taken in the design of shielding and associated equipment to minimise:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the incidence of localised high levels of radiation due to streaming; (b) unplanned or uncontrolled movements of shielding; (c) installation behind shielding of components requiring regular handling or to which regular access is required, c) except where such components are themselves (d) sources of radiation requiring shielding; (e) undue doses to extremities of workers during access to and manipulation of radioactive sources; (f) unplanned or uncontrolled removal from behind shielding of any source which could cause a significant radiological effect when unshielded; and (g) the presence of locations which could result in the accumulation of solids of safety significance. Where such locations cannot be avoided the design should include the provisions for detecting the presence of such materials and facilitating their safe removal and disposal. 	<p>Paragraph 493(b) Paragraph 493(c) Paragraph 493(d)</p> <p>Paragraph 493(d) Paragraph 493(e)</p> <p>Paragraph 493(f)</p> <p>Paragraph 395</p>	
351	P277	<p>(P277) Where liquid is used as a shielding material there should be design provisions for preventing loss of such liquid and suitable means should be provided for detecting changes in liquid level and initiating an alarm in the event of any potentially unsafe change.</p>	Paragraph 495	
352		<p>Control of nuclear matter This part deals with the general control of nuclear matter, which includes radioactive waste as well as nuclear fuel and absorbers, other than that which is in the core of a reactor. These principles should be used in carrying out an assessment except where the total amount of nuclear matter is so small or is in such a form that certain principles can be shown to be inapplicable. In interpreting and using this section, assessors should refer also to the section on ventilation and containment.</p>	Paragraph 392	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
353	P278	<p>General (P278) Nuclear matter should not be generated on or brought onto a site unless suitable facilities and arrangements exist for its safe transport, handling, processing, storage and/or disposal. In particular:</p> <p>(a) the arrangements should ensure that the type and form of the nuclear matter and the maximum inventories of that matter are within specified limits consistent with the safe operation of the plant, including where appropriate, plant sub-divisions or individual plant items;</p> <p>(b) b) the facilities and associated arrangements should be sufficiently flexible to enable the handling and storage of abnormal items which might be produced on or arrive at the site (e.g. damaged or faulty fuel or containers, and material of non-standard physical or chemical composition).</p>	ENM.2	
354	P279	(P279) A control regime should be established whereby all nuclear matter can be procured, handled, processed, transported, stored, inspected and where appropriate retrieved and disposed of safely.	ENM.4, paragraph 397 f.	
355	P280	<p>(P280) The design of the plant and the control regime applied during its operation should:</p> <p>(a) facilitate arrangements for controlling and keeping adequate records of the location, nature and quantities of all nuclear matter entering, leaving, stored and, where appropriate, moving within a plant and for preventing unauthorised access to and removal of nuclear matter;</p> <p>(b) prevent unintended accumulation and unplanned or uncontrolled movement of nuclear matter and, where this is possible, make provision for inspection and detection, and for appropriate alarms to enable timely corrective action to be taken;</p> <p>(c) c) ensure that the quantity of nuclear matter within the process is the minimum consistent with operational requirements.</p>	<p>ENM.4</p> <p>Paragraphs 403 - 404</p> <p>ENM.3</p>	Licence conditions 25 and 32 are relevant.

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
356	P281	<p>(P281) There should be adequate provision to ensure that under normal and fault conditions nuclear matter is:</p> <p>(a) cooled, monitored and controlled where the heat from radioactive decay or chemical reaction may be significant, and managed so that chemical reactions, precipitation, acidity etc are kept within the specified limits;</p> <p>(b) segregated both from incompatible materials and according to physical and chemical form, flammability, specific radioactivity, half-life, fissile nature and type of radiation emitted where subsequent storage, processing, conditioning and disposal would otherwise be adversely affected.</p>	<p>Paragraph 400</p> <p>Paragraph 408</p>	
357	P282	<p>(P282) Storage facilities for nuclear matter and the contents of the facilities should be adequately protected and maintained against any adverse environmental effects until such time as any waste is disposed of or the facility is decommissioned.</p>	ENM.6	
358	P283	<p>(P283) Nuclear matter which might contain, generate or release gases or liquids should be kept in containers with suitable ventilation, pressure relief or sump facilities.</p>	Paragraph 410	Paragraph 667 is relevant.
359	P284	<p>Fissile materials (P284) The potential for unplanned criticality during normal plant operation and fault conditions should be minimised. Representative cases should be analysed conservatively, taking into account all reasonably foreseeable circumstances and configurations. The analysis should fully take account of the variability of factors such as geometry, material composition, neutron moderation, reflection and absorption, fissile material quantity (adventitious accumulation etc), and interaction effects, and of deficiencies in accounting procedures, enrichment identification and burn-up credit etc. The safety factors and margins used should be justified.</p>	<p>ECR.1</p> <p>Paragraph 471 and 472</p>	Additional guidance should be placed in the TAGs.
360	P285	<p>(P285) Provisions for cleaning, inspection and measurement to facilitate the periodic establishment of the fissile material inventory should be incorporated in plant in which fissile material is treated, processed or stored.</p>		

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
361	P286	(P286) At all places where fissile material may be present, there should be a system of controls to prevent unplanned criticality. There should be adequate justification of these provisions, and where safety is based on configurations of materials or on circumstances other than the most reactive this should also be justified.	ECR.1	FA principles are relevant.
362	P287	(P287) The design and operation of plant and equipment should be such as to facilitate the safe recovery from a criticality accident.	AM.1 is relevant	Information should be included in TAGs.
363	P288	Process Control (P288) The plant design and flow sheet should be such as to minimise the need to move radioactive material on the site.	ENM.3	
364	P289	(P289) A sufficient quantity of buffer storage should be provided, where appropriate, between unit operations to adequately decouple any process perturbations which have safety implications.	Paragraph 394(d)	
365	P290	(P290) Monitoring of key plant parameters should rely as far as is practicable on instrumentation which does not require nuclear matter to be diverted outside the main containment.	-	Information should be included in TAGs.
366	P291	(P291) Sampling nuclear matter as a method of process control should be avoided. Where samples have to be removed from the containment, appropriate arrangements should be specified for their return to the process after use or for their treatment, storage or disposal as appropriate.	-	Information should be included in TAGs.
367	P292	(P292) Provision should be made for the decontamination of vessels, pipework, plant equipment and containment structures prior to maintenance or modification and following final use.	RP.5	
368	P293	(P293) Control limits should be defined and monitoring, recording and alarm systems provided to detect significant deviations from normal operating levels as an aid to maintaining plant control.	EMC.24	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
369	P294	Radioactive wastes (general) (P294) The nuclear plant design should be such that so far as reasonably practicable the quantity of radioactive waste (including secondary waste) and scrap arising during commissioning, operation and decommissioning is minimised.	RW.2	
370	P295	(P295) The generation of radioactive waste of a type or form incompatible with currently available storage or disposal technology should be avoided.	Paragraph 651(m)	
371	P296	(P296) Radioactive waste stored on site should be in a form which minimises the hazard of storage and is compatible with retrieval and with any subsequent storage, transport or disposal route, and it should be appropriately monitored and inspected to ensure that it remains in such a form.	Paragraph 672	
372	P297	(P297) The administrative and physical arrangements should be such that waste and scrap is at all times kept in a safe state and the radiological consequences of normal plant operations, recycling, salvage, decommissioning and storage operations are minimised.	RW.5 Paragraph 668	
373	P298	(P298) Adequate provision should be made for: (a) monitoring and maintaining in a safe state accumulations of stored radioactive waste and scrap; (b) determining and recording appropriate details (eg quantity, type, origin and form) of the radioactive waste or scrap in a manner which is durable for the anticipated period prior to final disposal; (c) estimating the rate of arising and transfer, the change of volume on conditioning, and the volume and activity of the waste or scrap in each store.	Paragraph 670 RW.7 and associated guidance	
374	P299	(P299) Waste streams arising from the decontamination of plant or equipment should be treated as radioactive waste and managed accordingly.	Paragraph 654 is relevant RP.5 is also relevant.	To be covered by the TAGs.

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
375	P300	(P300) Appropriate and sufficient locations should be provided within the plant where process materials, plant items, construction materials and other items arising from plant breakdown, maintenance or refurbishment can be temporarily stored so that their level of contamination, chemical and physical properties, ease of decontamination and repair can be assessed.	Para 187 RP.5 is also relevant.	
376	P301	(P301) Without prejudice to the requirements of the authorising departments, the means of control of discharges of liquid or gaseous wastes should be such that the radiological consequences on site are minimised.	RP.1 is also relevant	
377	P302	(P302) Arrangements should be made which prevent: (a) the inadvertent discharge of liquid waste, by means if necessary of buffer, hold-up or feedback facilities; (b) the inadvertent mixing of the various separate waste streams and stored liquid waste; (c) the mixing of incompatible materials with waste streams or liquid waste in store; (d) the discharge of waste into an incompatible environment; and (e) the discharge of waste to the environment via routes not intended, designed or authorised for that purpose.	RW.5 and associated guidance Paragraph 665	
378	P303	(P303) For the purposes of minimising the consequences of accidents and the on-site consequences of routine discharges, the position and design of discharge outlets should take into account the characteristics of the surrounding terrain, weather conditions and the proximity of buildings and stacks, both with regard to the aerodynamics of the discharge and the compatibility of discharges and operations in adjacent buildings.	Paragraph 658 and RP.1 are relevant	
379	P304	(P304) The characteristics of the waste, in terms of total activity, concentration, and other physical and chemical properties with which any discharge treatment facility may have to deal during foreseeable fault conditions, should be taken into account in the design of the facility.	RW.4 Paragraph 662	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
380	P305	(P305) Radioactive waste should not be disposed of or otherwise removed from a nuclear site, except to an installation or place authorised for the purpose of receiving such waste.	Paragraph 658	
381	P306	(P306) Waste containment and transport provisions should be compatible with the storage and disposal facilities.	Paragraph 672	
382	P307	(P307) Where solid wastes are stored under water, the assessment principles relevant to the storage of liquid waste should be used as appropriate.		To be included in TAGs.
383	P308	Radioactive scrap (P308) A justification should be provided whenever nuclear matter is designated as scrap. Adequate records including, contamination levels and ultimate destination, should be maintained of all arisings of scrap.	Paragraph 682	
384	P309	Storage, handling and transport of nuclear matter (including nuclear fuel and absorbers) (P309) Building and site layouts should be such that the movement of nuclear matter between buildings is minimised.	ELO.3	
385	P310	(P310) The operational limits to be applied during the storage, handling and transport of nuclear matter should be specified.	SC.6 Paragraph 97	
386	P311	(P311) The plant and equipment and the systems of work for storage, handling and transporting nuclear matter on the site should be such that the risk of damage to the containment of such materials, to the materials themselves and to any adjacent plant, is minimised.	ECV.1 Paragraph 423	
387	P312	(P312) Where any machine or plant component is connected to, or physically associated with, the containment of nuclear matter in order to handle or move it, the design, construction, maintenance and operation should be such that the performance of the containment is not impaired.	ECV.2	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
388	P313	<p>(P313) The facilities for the storage of nuclear matter on site and the procedures used, should be adequate having regard to:</p> <p>a) the maximum duration of the storage of the nuclear matter, based on the lifetime of the plant which it serves and the availability of a final disposal route;</p> <p>b) the chemical and physical properties (including the possibility of criticality) of the nuclear matter and its containment taking into account any changes in the chemical or physical form which might occur during extended storage;</p> <p>c) the need for the nuclear matter to be readily recoverable by means which enable retrieval and relocation to take place on an appropriate timescale; and,</p> <p>d) the requirement to have adequate storage capacity and redundancy.</p>	<p>Paragraph 671(b)</p> <p>Paragraph 667</p> <p>Paragraph 671(i)</p> <p>Paragraph 669</p>	
389	P314	<p>(P314) All containers or packages used for the transport or movement of nuclear matter on site or within the plant should be appropriately marked or labelled. The marking or labelling should ensure that their contents can be adequately controlled and their destinations indicated. Storage areas and facilities should be clearly identified and delineated.</p>	Paragraph 401 & 673	Covered by separate legislation.
390		<p>LIFE-CYCLE REQUIREMENTS Introduction The safety case submitted by a licensee is aimed at demonstrating that a plant will be safe when it comes into operation. In order to achieve that safety, the construction of the plant, its commissioning, operation and ultimately its decommissioning must be consistent with the assumptions and commitments made in the safety case. The day-to-day activities during each of these phases will be regulated through conditions attached to site licences. The principles presented in this section deal with the forward look which the NII has to take towards those phases and the regulatory regime that will be in force. They address, therefore, the consistency between the safety case and actions necessary from the start of construction onwards.</p>	Paragraph 29 SC.7	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
391		Under the Nuclear Installations Act, the licensee has the ultimate responsibility for the safety of plant. It is necessary therefore for him to have an effective management system which will ensure that a high standard of safety will be maintained throughout the various phases of its life. An important aspect of an effective management system is the development of a safety culture which at all levels within the organisation emphasises safety, and which by the use of managerial, supervisory and individual practices and constraints sustains attention to safety through an awareness of the risks posed by the plant and of the potential consequences of incorrect actions.	Paragraphs 43 – 47 MS.1 and associated guidance	
392		Quality assurance is an essential part of an effective management system. It provides a disciplined approach which ensures that arrangements are in place covering all safety-related activities throughout the life of the plant. In order to give further confidence, it is important that the part of the organisation responsible for monitoring that the arrangements are fully implemented will have sufficient authority and be independent from commercial pressures	Paragraphs 50 to 51	Licence Condition 17 applies.
393		The construction phase covers the civil engineering work on buildings and structures, the manufacture of plant items and the installation of those items on the site. In this phase the licensee needs to show that the site work is being carried out, and the plant and the individual items which go into it are being manufactured and constructed, to the required standards. Commissioning follows on after construction and is the process whereby the plant is put to work in a systematic manner in order to confirm that its performance meets the design intent and that the plant is capable of operating in accordance with the safety case.	Paragraphs 182 to 186	
394		Operational limits need to be set on the basis of the safety case analyses and other aspects of the operational life, such as maintenance requirements, are also linked to safety case commitments.	Paragraphs 96 - 97	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
395		Decommissioning is the reverse of construction and commissioning: when the plant reaches the end of its working life the licensee must be able to take the plant out of service safely and reduce to an acceptable level and ultimately remove the risk from the plant. The licensee needs to be aware of this from the start and, in the pre-operational phase, he is expected to produce a preliminary decommissioning plan and a safety case in support of it	Paragraphs 684 - 739	
396		Despite the high safety standards expected on a nuclear site, consideration has to be given to the possibility of an accident. Accident management is a topic which came into focus as a result of the accident at Three Mile Island and has received even more attention since the accident at Chernobyl. The nuclear site licence specifies the requirements for emergency arrangements to be produced and exercised at licensed nuclear installations in this country, but the preparations for this need to be made from the design stage onwards. Accident management may be described as the application of preplanned procedures or ad hoc actions to control the course of accidents in which the barriers to the release of radioactive materials are challenged or breached, in order to prevent or mitigate the consequences and to bring the plant to a safe stable state in the long term. To achieve this, two main areas will need to be addressed. The first is the training of personnel in the accident management procedures, and the second is the provision of instrumentation and other relevant equipment necessary to monitor and control the accident.	Paragraphs 639 - 645	
397	P315	Management Systems (P315) A safety culture should be established which will enhance and support the safety actions and interactions of all managers, personnel and organisations involved in the safety activities relating to the nuclear plant. The commitment to this should be demonstrated by a written safety policy which is implemented appropriately at all levels and in which safety performance is monitored.	Paragraph 48	
398	P316	(P316) All functions which will have a bearing on the safe operation of the plant should be identified and the duties of personnel given responsibilities for those functions, including arrangements for any delegation of responsibilities, should be defined.	MS.2 Paragraph 52 – 60	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
399	P317	(P317) Quality assurance (QA) arrangements should be established and implemented which will eventually cover the whole of the life of the plant. The arrangements should be reviewed periodically. Persons and organisations responsible for verifying correct performance should have appropriate authority and independence.	Paragraphs 50 - 51	Licence condition 17 with TIG guidance.
400	P318	(P318) Each phase in the life of a plant should be covered by a document which describes the commitment to the adoption of QA principles. The principles should be based on national or international standards or other defined documents.	Paragraph 50 Paragraph 51	Licence condition 17.
401	P319	(P319) The document for each phase should be available for implementation before commencement of that phase and should identify all activities that are necessary to achieve the required level of safety, the structure of the organisations responsible for the activities, and the authority, responsibility, and interfaces of specific parts of the organisations. Support documentation should provide more detailed information concerning management, organisation and responsibilities, along with the administrative and technical procedures and instructions.	Paragraph 89	Licence condition 17 with TIG guidance.
402	P320	(P320) There should be provision for identifying, updating and preserving documents and records relevant to plant safety. Particular attention should be paid to those which would assist management in the event of incidents, in making modifications and in decommissioning, or which would contribute to improvements in plant design.	MS.4	Licence condition 6, 7, 14 with TIG guidance.
403	P321	(P321) Provisions should be made for training of staff who will have responsibility for the safety of the plant. These should include a management system for training on the site, analysis of jobs and tasks, development of training methods, assessment of trainees, revision training as required, and regular evaluation of training.	Paragraph 387	Licence condition 10 with TIG guidance.
404	P322	(P322) Arrangements should be made for obtaining and utilising information and experience from national and international sources relevant to the safe operation of the plant.	MS.4 Paragraph 68	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
405	P323	<p>Construction (P323) Construction should be carried out in accordance with approved procedures. No change which might affect safety should be made to the plant except in accordance with a procedure which will ensure that safety is not compromised. The procedure should also provide for amendments of the safety case as appropriate, such that the safety case and associated documents define the as-built plant and justify its safety.</p>	<p align="center">ECE.17</p> <p>Paragraph 297 Paragraph 99</p>	Licence condition 19 with TIG guidance,
406	P324	<p>Commissioning (P324) The safety case should identify those commissioning tests and inspections required to: a) confirm the plant's design safety assumptions and predicted performance, in particular that of the safety provisions; b) characterise the plant as a basis for evaluating its behaviour during its operating life. The safety analysis should be reviewed in the light of the results of the commissioning programme and of any modifications made to design or intended operating procedures since the commencement of construction.</p>	<p>Paragraph 184</p> <p>Paragraph 185</p> <p>Paragraph 99</p>	
407	P325	<p>Operating limits (P325) The plant parameters relevant to safe operation should be identified and operational limits on those parameters derived such that in the event of any design basis fault sequence: a) the integrity of the physical barriers to radioactive release is maintained and the fault consequences limited as required by P25; and b) no safety-related component (or structure or system) required to prevent or mitigate the fault sequence will be caused to operate outside the conditions for which it has been qualified.</p>	<p align="center">SC.6</p> <p>Paragraph 521 Paragraph 525</p>	
408	P326	<p>(P326) Where a safety-related item is required to work only in the event of a fault, its safe operating limits should be appropriate to any reasonably foreseeable combination of plant conditions likely to arise during the fault.</p>	<p>Paragraph 346 Paragraph 517</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
409	P327	<p>(P327) The limits referred to in P325 should be set having regard to the expected extremes of plant conditions at any time. Account should be taken of all relevant combinations of parameter values which are expected.</p> <p>The possibility of both short and longer term or cumulative damage processes should be considered in defining and setting these limits.</p>	<p align="center">SC.6</p> <p align="center">Paragraph 517</p>	
410	P328	<p>(P328) The minimum staffing levels of suitably qualified and experienced people and the minimum level of operational equipment necessary to ensure safety in normal or fault conditions should be specified.</p>	<p align="center">Paragraphs 52 - 53</p>	
411	P329	<p>Maintenance, inspection and testing</p> <p>(P329) The requirements for in-service testing, inspection or other maintenance procedures and frequencies for which specific claims have been made in the safety case should be identified and included in a maintenance schedule.</p>	<p align="center">EMT.1</p>	
412	P330	<p>Decommissioning</p> <p>(P330) The licensee should prepare an outline decommissioning plan which shows that the design of the plant will facilitate its safe decommissioning and dismantling. In particular the design should ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the choice of materials and construction is such as to minimise eventual quantities of radioactive waste and to facilitate decontamination; b) any important access facilities required for decommissioning are provided; c) adequate facilities are provided for treating and storing radioactive waste generated during both operation and decommissioning. 	<p align="center">DC.4</p> <p align="center">DC.1 Paragraph 687(g) and 654 Paragraph 687(d)</p> <p align="center">Paragraph 669</p>	
413	P331	<p>Accident management</p> <p>(P331) Accident management strategies should be developed to reduce the risk from severe accidents. The strategies should primarily aim to prevent the breach of barriers to release or, where this cannot be achieved, to mitigate the consequences. The ultimate objective should be to return the plant to a controlled state in which it can be maintained in a safe condition.</p>	<p align="center">Paragraph 641</p>	

1992 to 2006 SAPs Cross-reference Table

1992 Para	1992 SAP No.	1992 SAPs text	2006 SAPs text	Notes
414	P332	(P332) The strategies should identify any instrumentation needed to monitor the state of the plant and the level of severity of the accident, and any equipment to be used to control the accident or mitigate its consequences. Where additional hardware would facilitate accident management, this should be provided if reasonably practicable.	Paragraph 642	
415	P333	(P333) Provision should be made in the strategy for training plant personnel in accident management procedures and implementing the accident management strategies, utilising appropriate instrumentation and items of plant that are qualified for operation in severe accident environments.	Paragraph 643	
App 1/2		Not repeated here for brevity.	-	The relevant parts of Appendix 1 and 2 are included in the Explanatory Note.